

**MPI: A Message-Passing Interface Standard**  
**Extension: Nonblocking Collective Operations**  
(draft)

Message Passing Interface Forum

December 17, 2008



# Contents

<b>5</b>	<b>Collective Communication</b>	<b>1</b>
5.1	Introduction and Overview	1
5.2	Communicator Argument	4
5.2.1	Specifics for Intracommunicator Collective Operations	4
5.2.2	Applying Collective Operations to Intercommunicators	5
5.2.3	Specifics for Intercommunicator Collective Operations	6
5.3	Barrier Synchronization	7
5.4	Broadcast	8
5.4.1	Example using MPI_BCAST	8
5.5	Gather	9
5.5.1	Examples using MPI_GATHER, MPI_GATHERV	12
5.6	Scatter	19
5.6.1	Examples using MPI_SCATTER, MPI_SCATTERV	21
5.7	Gather-to-all	24
5.7.1	Examples using MPI_ALLGATHER, MPI_ALLGATHERV	26
5.8	All-to-All Scatter/Gather	27
5.9	Global Reduction Operations	31
5.9.1	Reduce	32
5.9.2	Predefined Reduction Operations	33
5.9.3	Signed Characters and Reductions	35
5.9.4	MINLOC and MAXLOC	36
5.9.5	User-Defined Reduction Operations	40
	Example of User-defined Reduce	42
5.9.6	All-Reduce	43
5.10	Reduce-Scatter	45
5.11	Scan	46
5.11.1	Inclusive Scan	46
5.11.2	Exclusive Scan	47
5.11.3	Example using MPI_SCAN	48
5.12	Nonblocking Collective Operations	49
5.12.1	Nonblocking Barrier Synchronization	51
5.12.2	Nonblocking Broadcast	51
	Example using MPI_IBCAST	52
5.12.3	Nonblocking Gather	52
5.12.4	Nonblocking Scatter	54
5.12.5	Nonblocking Gather-to-all	56
5.12.6	Nonblocking All-to-All Scatter/Gather	58

5.12.7 Nonblocking Reduce . . . . .	61
5.12.8 Nonblocking All-Reduce . . . . .	61
5.12.9 Nonblocking Reduce-Scatter . . . . .	62
5.12.10 Nonblocking Inclusive Scan . . . . .	63
5.12.11 Nonblocking Exclusive Scan . . . . .	63
5.13 Correctness . . . . .	64

<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>71</b>
---------------------	-----------

# Chapter 5

## Collective Communication

### 5.1 Introduction and Overview

Collective communication is defined as communication that involves a group or groups of processes. The functions of this type provided by MPI are the following:

- `MPI_BARRIER`: Barrier synchronization across all members of a group (Section 5.3).
- `MPI_BCAST`: Broadcast from one member to all members of a group (Section 5.4). This is shown as “broadcast” in Figure 5.1.
- `MPI_GATHER`, `MPI_GATHERV`: Gather data from all members of a group to one member (Section 5.5). This is shown as “gather” in Figure 5.1.
- `MPI_SCATTER`, `MPI_SCATTERV`: Scatter data from one member to all members of a group (Section 5.6). This is shown as “scatter” in Figure 5.1.
- `MPI_ALLGATHER`, `MPI_ALLGATHERV`: A variation on Gather where all members of a group receive the result (Section 5.7). This is shown as “allgather” in Figure 5.1.
- `MPI_ALLTOALL`, `MPI_ALLTOALLV`, `MPI_ALLTOALLW`: Scatter/Gather data from all members to all members of a group (also called complete exchange or all-to-all) (Section 5.8). This is shown as “alltoall” in Figure 5.1.
- `MPI_ALLREDUCE`, `MPI_REDUCE`: Global reduction operations such as sum, max, min, or user-defined functions, where the result is returned to all members of a group and a variation where the result is returned to only one member (Section 5.9).
- `MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER`: A combined reduction and scatter operation (Section 5.10).
- `MPI_SCAN`, `MPI_EXSCAN`: Scan across all members of a group (also called prefix) (Section 5.11).

One of the key arguments in a call to a collective routine is a communicator that defines the group or groups of participating processes and provides a context for the operation. This is discussed further in Section 5.2. The syntax and semantics of the collective operations are defined to be consistent with the syntax and semantics of the point-to-point operations. Thus, general datatypes are allowed and must match between sending and receiving processes as specified in Chapter ???. Several collective routines such as broadcast




Figure 5.1: Collective move functions illustrated for a group of six processes. In each case, each row of boxes represents data locations in one process. Thus, in the broadcast, initially just the first process contains the data  $A_0$ , but after the broadcast all processes contain it.

and gather have a single originating or receiving process. Such a process is called the *root*. Some arguments in the collective functions are specified as “significant only at root,” and are ignored for all participants except the root. The reader is referred to Chapter ?? for information concerning communication buffers, general datatypes and type matching rules, and to Chapter ?? for information on how to define groups and create communicators.

The type-matching conditions for the collective operations are more strict than the corresponding conditions between sender and receiver in point-to-point. Namely, for collective operations, the amount of data sent must exactly match the amount of data specified by the receiver. Different type maps (the layout in memory, see Section ??) between sender and receiver are still allowed. The collective operations do not have a message tag argument.

Collective ~~routine calls~~ operations can (but are not required to) ~~return complete locally~~ as soon as ~~their~~ the callers participation in the collective communication is ~~complete~~ finished. The local completion of a ~~call~~ collective operation indicates that the caller is ~~now~~ free to access locations in the communication buffer. It does not indicate that other processes in the group have completed or even started the operation (unless otherwise implied by in the description of the operation). Thus, a collective communication call may, or may not, have the effect of synchronizing all calling processes. This statement excludes, of course, the barrier function.

Collective communication calls may use the same communicators as point-to-point communication; MPI guarantees that messages generated on behalf of collective communication calls will not be confused with messages generated by point-to-point communication. A more detailed discussion of correct use of collective routines is found in Section 5.13.

*Rationale.* The equal-data restriction (on type matching) was made so as to avoid the complexity of providing a facility analogous to the status argument of MPI\_RECV for discovering the amount of data sent. Some of the collective routines would require an array of status values.

The statements about synchronization are made so as to allow a variety of implementations of the collective functions.

~~The collective operations do not accept a message tag argument. If future revisions of MPI define nonblocking collective functions, then tags (or a similar mechanism) might need to be added so as to allow the disambiguation of multiple, pending, collective operations. (End of rationale.)~~

*Advice to users.* It is dangerous to rely on synchronization side-effects of the collective operations for program correctness. For example, even though a particular implementation may provide a broadcast routine with a side-effect of synchronization, the standard does not require this, and a program that relies on this will not be portable.

On the other hand, a correct, portable program must allow for the fact that a collective call *may* be synchronizing. Though one cannot rely on any synchronization side-effect, one must program so as to allow it. These issues are discussed further in Section 5.13. *(End of advice to users.)*

*Advice to implementors.* While vendors may write optimized collective routines matched to their architectures, a complete library of the collective communication routines can be written entirely using the MPI point-to-point communication functions and a few auxiliary functions. If implementing on top of point-to-point, a hidden,

1 special communicator might be created for the collective operation so as to avoid inter-  
2 ference with any on-going point-to-point communication at the time of the collective  
3 call. This is discussed further in Section 5.13. (*End of advice to implementors.*)  
4

5 Many of the descriptions of the collective routines provide illustrations in terms of  
6 blocking MPI point-to-point routines. These are intended solely to indicate what data is  
7 sent or received by what process. Many of these examples are *not* correct MPI programs;  
8 for purposes of simplicity, they often assume infinite buffering.  
9

## 10 5.2 Communicator Argument

11  
12 The key concept of the collective functions is to have a group or groups of participating  
13 processes. The routines do not have group identifiers as explicit arguments. Instead, there  
14 is a communicator argument. Groups and communicators are discussed in full detail in  
15 Chapter ???. For the purposes of this chapter, it is sufficient to know that there are two types  
16 of communicators: *intra-communicators* and *inter-communicators*. An intracommunicator  
17 can be thought of as an identifier for a single group of processes linked with a context. An  
18 intercommunicator identifies two distinct groups of processes linked with a context.  
19

### 20 5.2.1 Specifics for Intracommunicator Collective Operations

21  
22 All processes in the group identified by the intracommunicator must call the collective  
23 routine with matching arguments.

24 In many cases, collective communication can occur “in place” for intracommunicators,  
25 with the output buffer being identical to the input buffer. This is specified by providing  
26 a special argument value, `MPI_IN_PLACE`, instead of the send buffer or the receive buffer  
27 argument, depending on the operation performed.  
28

29 *Rationale.* The “in place” operations are provided to reduce unnecessary memory  
30 motion by both the MPI implementation and by the user. Note that while the simple  
31 check of testing whether the send and receive buffers have the same address will  
32 work for some cases (e.g., `MPI_ALLREDUCE`), they are inadequate in others (e.g.,  
33 `MPI_GATHER`, with root not equal to zero). Further, Fortran explicitly prohibits  
34 aliasing of arguments; the approach of using a special value to denote “in place”  
35 operation eliminates that difficulty. (*End of rationale.*)  
36

37 *Advice to users.* By allowing the “in place” option, the receive buffer in many of the  
38 collective calls becomes a send-and-receive buffer. For this reason, a Fortran binding  
39 that includes `INTENT` must mark these as `INOUT`, not `OUT`.

40 Note that `MPI_IN_PLACE` is a special kind of value; it has the same restrictions on its  
41 use that `MPI_BOTTOM` has.

42 Some intracommunicator collective operations do not support the “in place” option  
43 (e.g., `MPI_ALLTOALLV`). (*End of advice to users.*)  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48



## 5.2.2 Applying Collective Operations to Intercommunicators

To understand how collective operations apply to intercommunicators, we can view most MPI intracommunicator collective operations as fitting one of the following categories (see, for instance, [5]):

**All-To-All** All processes contribute to the result. All processes receive the result.

- MPI\_ALLGATHER, MPI\_ALLGATHERV
- MPI\_ALLTOALL, MPI\_ALLTOALLV, MPI\_ALLTOALLW
- MPI\_ALLREDUCE, MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER

**All-To-One** All processes contribute to the result. One process receives the result.

- MPI\_GATHER, MPI\_GATHERV
- MPI\_REDUCE

**One-To-All** One process contributes to the result. All processes receive the result.

- MPI\_BCAST
- MPI\_SCATTER, MPI\_SCATTERV

**Other** Collective operations that do not fit into one of the above categories.

- MPI\_SCAN, MPI\_EXSCAN
- MPI\_BARRIER

The MPI\_BARRIER operation does not fit into this classification since no data is being moved (other than the implicit fact that a barrier has been called). The data movement patterns of MPI\_SCAN and MPI\_EXSCAN do not fit this taxonomy.


The application of collective communication to intercommunicators is best described in terms of two groups. For example, an all-to-all MPI\_ALLGATHER operation can be described as collecting data from all members of one group with the result appearing in all members of the other group (see Figure 5.2). As another example, a one-to-all MPI\_BCAST operation sends data from one member of one group to all members of the other group. Collective computation operations such as MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER have a similar interpretation (see Figure 5.3). For intracommunicators, these two groups are the same. For intercommunicators, these two groups are distinct. For the all-to-all operations, each such operation is described in two phases, so that it has a symmetric, full-duplex behavior.

The following collective operations also apply to intercommunicators:

- MPI\_BARRIER,
- MPI\_BCAST,
- MPI\_GATHER, MPI\_GATHERV,
- MPI\_SCATTER, MPI\_SCATTERV,
- MPI\_ALLGATHER, MPI\_ALLGATHERV,

- 1 • MPI\_ALLTOALL, MPI\_ALLTOALLV, MPI\_ALLTOALLW,
- 2 • MPI\_ALLREDUCE, MPI\_REDUCE,
- 3 • MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER.
- 4
- 5

6 In C++, the bindings for these functions are in the `MPI::Comm` class. However, since  
 7 the collective operations do not make sense on a C++ `MPI::Comm` (as it is neither an  
 8 intercommunicator nor an intracommunicator), the functions are all pure virtual.



9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Figure 5.2: Intercommunicator allgather. The focus of data to one process is represented,  
 28 not mandated by the semantics. The two phases do allgathers in both directions.

### 30 5.2.3 Specifics for Intercommunicator Collective Operations

31  
32 All processes in both groups identified by the intercommunicator must call the collective  
 33 routine. In addition, processes in the same group must call the routine with matching  
 34 arguments.

35 Note that the “in place” option for intracommunicators does not apply to intercom-  
 36 municators since in the intercommunicator case there is no communication from a process  
 37 to itself.

38 For intercommunicator collective communication, if the operation is rooted (e.g., broad-  
 39 cast, gather, scatter), then the transfer is unidirectional. The direction of the transfer is  
 40 indicated by a special value of the root argument. In this case, for the group containing  
 41 the root process, all processes in the group must call the routine using a special argument  
 42 for the root. For this, the root process uses the special root value `MPI_ROOT`; all other pro-  
 43 cesses in the same group as the root use `MPI_PROC_NULL`. All processes in the other group  
 44 (the group that is the remote group relative to the root process) must call the collective  
 45 routine and provide the rank of the root. If the operation is unrooted (e.g., alltoall), then  
 46 the transfer is bidirectional.  
 47  
 48



## 5.4 Broadcast

```
MPI_BCAST( buffer, count, datatype, root, comm )
```

INOUT	buffer	starting address of buffer (choice)
IN	count	number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	datatype	data type of buffer (handle)
IN	root	rank of broadcast root (integer)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)

```
int MPI_Bcast(void* buffer, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int root,
             MPI_Comm comm )
```

```
MPI_BCAST(BUFFER, COUNT, DATATYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR)
<type> BUFFER(*)
INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR
```

```
void MPI::Comm::Bcast(void* buffer, int count,
                    const MPI::Datatype& datatype, int root) const = 0
```

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, `MPI_BCAST` broadcasts a message from the process with rank `root` to all processes of the group, itself included. It is called by all members of the group using the same arguments for `comm` and `root`. On return, the content of `root`'s buffer is copied to all other processes.

General, derived datatypes are allowed for `datatype`. The type signature of `count`, `datatype` on any process must be equal to the type signature of `count`, `datatype` at the root. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount received, pairwise between each process and the root. `MPI_BCAST` and all other data-movement collective routines make this restriction. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

The “in place” option is not meaningful here.

If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercommunicator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the other group (group B) pass the same value in argument `root`, which is the rank of the root in group A. The root passes the value `MPI_ROOT` in `root`. All other processes in group A pass the value `MPI_PROC_NULL` in `root`. Data is broadcast from the root to all processes in group B. The buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with the buffer argument of the root.

### 5.4.1 Example using `MPI_BCAST`

The examples in this section use intracommunicators.

**Example 5.1** Broadcast 100 ints from process 0 to every process in the group.

```
MPI_Comm comm;
int array[100];
```

```

int root=0;
...
MPI_Bcast( array, 100, MPI_INT, root, comm);

```

As in many of our example code fragments, we assume that some of the variables (such as `comm` in the above) have been assigned appropriate values.

## 5.5 Gather

```

MPI_GATHER( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, comm)

```

IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at root)
IN	recvcount	number of elements for any single receive (non-negative integer, significant only at root)
IN	recvtype	data type of recv buffer elements (significant only at root) (handle)
IN	root	rank of receiving process (integer)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)

```

int MPI_Gather(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
              void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root,
              MPI_Comm comm)

```

```

MPI_GATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
           ROOT, COMM, IERROR)
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR

```

```

void MPI::Comm::Gather(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const
                      MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount,
                      const MPI::Datatype& recvtype, int root) const = 0

```

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, each process (root process included) sends the contents of its send buffer to the root process. The root process receives the messages and stores them in rank order. The outcome is *as if* each of the `n` processes in the group (including the root process) had executed a call to

```

MPI_Send(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, root, ...),

```

and the root had executed `n` calls to

```

MPI_Recv(recvbuf + i * recvcount * extent(recvtype), recvcount, recvtype, i, ...),

```

1 where `extent(recvtype)` is the type extent obtained from a call to `MPI_Type_extent()`.

2 An alternative description is that the `n` messages sent by the processes in the group  
3 are concatenated in rank order, and the resulting message is received by the root as if by a  
4 call to `MPI_RECV(recvbuf, recvcount·n, recvtype, ...)`.

5 The receive buffer is ignored for all non-root processes.

6 General, derived datatypes are allowed for both `sendtype` and `recvtype`. The type signa-  
7 ture of `sendcount`, `sendtype` on each process must be equal to the type signature of `recvcount`,  
8 `recvtype` at the root. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount  
9 of data received, pairwise between each process and the root. Distinct type maps between  
10 sender and receiver are still allowed.

11 All arguments to the function are significant on process `root`, while on other processes,  
12 only arguments `sendbuf`, `sendcount`, `sendtype`, `root`, and `comm` are significant. The arguments  
13 `root` and `comm` must have identical values on all processes.

14 The specification of counts and types should not cause any location on the root to be  
15 written more than once. Such a call is erroneous.

16 Note that the `recvcount` argument at the root indicates the number of items it receives  
17 from *each* process, not the total number of items it receives.

18 The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing `MPI_IN_PLACE` as  
19 the value of `sendbuf` at the root. In such a case, `sendcount` and `sendtype` are ignored, and  
20 the contribution of the root to the gathered vector is assumed to be already in the correct  
21 place in the receive buffer.

22 If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercom-  
23 municator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the  
24 other group (group B) pass the same value in argument `root`, which is the rank of the root  
25 in group A. The root passes the value `MPI_ROOT` in `root`. All other processes in group A  
26 pass the value `MPI_PROC_NULL` in `root`. Data is gathered from all processes in group B to  
27 the root. The send buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with  
28 the receive buffer argument of the root.

29  
30  
31 `MPI_GATHERV( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs, recvtype, root,`  
32 `comm)`

33	IN	<code>sendbuf</code>	starting address of send buffer (choice)
34	IN	<code>sendcount</code>	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte- 35 ger)
36	IN	<code>sendtype</code>	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
37	OUT	<code>recvbuf</code>	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at 38 root)
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			

IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) containing the number of elements that are received from each process (significant only at root)	1 2 3
IN	displs	integer array (of length group size). Entry <i>i</i> specifies the displacement relative to <i>recvbuf</i> at which to place the incoming data from process <i>i</i> (significant only at root)	4 5 6 7 8
IN	recvtype	data type of recv buffer elements (significant only at root) (handle)	9 10
IN	root	rank of receiving process (integer)	11
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	12 13

```

int MPI_Gatherv(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
               void* recvbuf, int *recvcounts, int *displs,
               MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)
MPI_GATHERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DISPLS,
            <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*),
            INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), DISPLS(*), RECVTYPE, ROOT,
            COMM, IERROR)
void MPI::Comm::Gatherv(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const
                       MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf,
                       const int recvcounts[], const int displs[],
                       const MPI::Datatype& recvtype, int root) const = 0

```

MPI\_GATHERV extends the functionality of MPI\_GATHER by allowing a varying count of data from each process, since *recvcounts* is now an array. It also allows more flexibility as to where the data is placed on the root, by providing the new argument, *displs*.

If *comm* is an intracommunicator, the outcome is *as if* each process, including the root process, sends a message to the root,

```
MPI_Send(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, root, ...),
```

and the root executes *n* receives,

```
MPI_Recv(recvbuf + displs[j] · extent(recvtype), recvcounts[j], recvtype, i, ...).
```

The data received from process *j* is placed into *recvbuf* of the root process beginning at offset *displs[j]* elements (in terms of the *recvtype*).

The receive buffer is ignored for all non-root processes.

The type signature implied by *sendcount*, *sendtype* on process *i* must be equal to the type signature implied by *recvcounts[i]*, *recvtype* at the root. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between each process and the root. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed, as illustrated in Example 5.6.

All arguments to the function are significant on process *root*, while on other processes, only arguments *sendbuf*, *sendcount*, *sendtype*, *root*, and *comm* are significant. The arguments *root* and *comm* must have identical values on all processes.

1 The specification of counts, types, and displacements should not cause any location on  
 2 the root to be written more than once. Such a call is erroneous.

3 The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing `MPI_IN_PLACE` as  
 4 the value of `sendbuf` at the root. In such a case, `sendcount` and `sendtype` are ignored, and  
 5 the contribution of the root to the gathered vector is assumed to be already in the correct  
 6 place in the receive buffer

7 If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercom-  
 8 municator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the  
 9 other group (group B) pass the same value in argument `root`, which is the rank of the root  
 10 in group A. The root passes the value `MPI_ROOT` in `root`. All other processes in group A  
 11 pass the value `MPI_PROC_NULL` in `root`. Data is gathered from all processes in group B to  
 12 the root. The send buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with  
 13 the receive buffer argument of the root.

### 15 5.5.1 Examples using `MPI_GATHER`, `MPI_GATHERV`

16 The examples in this section use intracommunicators.

17 **Example 5.2** Gather 100 ints from every process in group to root. See figure 5.4.

```
18
19
20     MPI_Comm comm;
21     int gsize, sendarray[100];
22     int root, *rbuf;
23     ...
24     MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
25     rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*100*sizeof(int));
26     MPI_Gather( sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI_INT, root, comm);
27
```

28 **Example 5.3** Previous example modified – only the root allocates memory for the receive  
 29 buffer.

```
30
31     MPI_Comm comm;
32     int gsize, sendarray[100];
33     int root, myrank, *rbuf;
34     ...
35     MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myrank);
36     if ( myrank == root) {
37         MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
38         rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*100*sizeof(int));
39     }
40     MPI_Gather( sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI_INT, root, comm);
41
```

42 **Example 5.4** Do the same as the previous example, but use a derived datatype. Note  
 43 that the type cannot be the entire set of `gsize*100` ints since type matching is defined  
 44 pairwise between the root and each process in the gather.

```
45
46     MPI_Comm comm;
47     int gsize, sendarray[100];
48
```






Figure 5.4: The root process gathers 100 ints from each process in the group.

```

int root, *rbuf;
MPI_Datatype rtype;
...
MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
MPI_Type_contiguous( 100, MPI_INT, &rtype );
MPI_Type_commit( &rtype );
rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*100*sizeof(int));
MPI_Gather( sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, 1, rtype, root, comm);

```

**Example 5.5** Now have each process send 100 ints to root, but place each set (of 100) *stride* ints apart at receiving end. Use `MPI_GATHERV` and the `displs` argument to achieve this effect. Assume  $stride \geq 100$ . See Figure 5.5.

```

MPI_Comm comm;
int gsize, sendarray[100];
int root, *rbuf, stride;
int *displs, i, *rcounts;
...
MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {
    displs[i] = i*stride;
    rcounts[i] = 100;
}
MPI_Gatherv( sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
             root, comm);

```

Note that the program is erroneous if  $stride < 100$ .

**Example 5.6** Same as Example 5.5 on the receiving side, but send the 100 ints from the 0th column of a  $100 \times 150$  int array, in C. See Figure 5.6.

```

MPI_Comm comm;

```




Figure 5.5: The root process gathers 100 ints from each process in the group, each set is placed `stride` ints apart.




Figure 5.6: The root process gathers column 0 of a  $100 \times 150$  C array, and each set is placed `stride` ints apart.

```

25     int gsize, sendarray[100][150];
26     int root, *rbuf, stride;
27     MPI_Datatype stype;
28     int *displs, i, *rcounts;
29
30     ...
31
32     MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
33     rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
34     displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
35     rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
36     for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {
37         displs[i] = i*stride;
38         rcounts[i] = 100;
39     }
40     /* Create datatype for 1 column of array
41     */
42     MPI_Type_vector( 100, 1, 150, MPI_INT, &stype);
43     MPI_Type_commit( &stype );
44     MPI_Gatherv( sendarray, 1, stype, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
45                 root, comm);

```

**Example 5.7** Process  $i$  sends  $(100-i)$  ints from the  $i$ -th column of a  $100 \times 150$  int




Figure 5.7: The root process gathers  $100-i$  ints from column  $i$  of a  $100 \times 150$  C array, and each set is placed  $\text{stride}$  ints apart.

array, in C. It is received into a buffer with  $\text{stride}$ , as in the previous two examples. See Figure 5.7.

```

MPI_Comm comm;
int gsize, sendarray[100][150], *sptr;
int root, *rbuf, stride, myrank;
MPI_Datatype stype;
int *displs, i, *rcounts;

...

MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myrank );
rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {
    displs[i] = i*stride;
    rcounts[i] = 100-i;    /* note change from previous example */
}
/* Create datatype for the column we are sending
*/
MPI_Type_vector( 100-myrank, 1, 150, MPI_INT, &stype);
MPI_Type_commit( &stype );
/* sptr is the address of start of "myrank" column
*/
sptr = &sendarray[0][myrank];
MPI_Gatherv( sptr, 1, stype, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
             root, comm);

```

Note that a different amount of data is received from each process.

**Example 5.8** Same as Example 5.7, but done in a different way at the sending end. We create a datatype that causes the correct striding at the sending end so that we read a column of a C array. A similar thing was done in Example ??, Section ??.

```

1   MPI_Comm comm;
2   int gsize,sendarray[100][150],*sptr;
3   int root, *rbuf, stride, myrank, disp[2], blocklen[2];
4   MPI_Datatype stype,type[2];
5   int *displs,i,*rcounts;
6
7   ...
8
9   MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
10  MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myrank );
11  rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
12  displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
13  rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
14  for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {
15      displs[i] = i*stride;
16      rcounts[i] = 100-i;
17  }
18  /* Create datatype for one int, with extent of entire row
19     */
20  disp[0] = 0;      disp[1] = 150*sizeof(int);
21  type[0] = MPI_INT; type[1] = MPI_UB;
22  blocklen[0] = 1;  blocklen[1] = 1;
23  MPI_Type_struct( 2, blocklen, disp, type, &stype );
24  MPI_Type_commit( &stype );
25  sptr = &sendarray[0][myrank];
26  MPI_Gatherv( sptr, 100-myrank, stype, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
27              root, comm);
28
29

```

**Example 5.9** Same as Example 5.7 at sending side, but at receiving side we make the stride between received blocks vary from block to block. See Figure 5.8.

```

32
33  MPI_Comm comm;
34  int gsize,sendarray[100][150],*sptr;
35  int root, *rbuf, *stride, myrank, bufsize;
36  MPI_Datatype stype;
37  int *displs,i,*rcounts,offset;
38
39  ...
40
41  MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
42  MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myrank );
43
44  stride = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
45  ...
46  /* stride[i] for i = 0 to gsize-1 is set somehow
47     */
48

```




Figure 5.8: The root process gathers  $100-i$  ints from column  $i$  of a  $100 \times 150$  C array, and each set is placed  $\text{stride}[i]$  ints apart (a varying stride).

```

/* set up displs and rcounts vectors first
*/
displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
offset = 0;
for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {
    displs[i] = offset;
    offset += stride[i];
    rcounts[i] = 100-i;
}
/* the required buffer size for rbuf is now easily obtained
*/
bufsize = displs[gsize-1]+rcounts[gsize-1];
rbuf = (int *)malloc(bufsize*sizeof(int));
/* Create datatype for the column we are sending
*/
MPI_Type_vector( 100-myrank, 1, 150, MPI_INT, &stype);
MPI_Type_commit( &stype );
sptr = &sendarray[0][myrank];
MPI_Gatherv( sptr, 1, stype, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
             root, comm);

```

**Example 5.10** Process  $i$  sends  $\text{num}$  ints from the  $i$ -th column of a  $100 \times 150$  int array, in C. The complicating factor is that the various values of  $\text{num}$  are not known to  $\text{root}$ , so a separate gather must first be run to find these out. The data is placed contiguously at the receiving end.

```

MPI_Comm comm;
int gsize,sendarray[100][150],*sptr;
int root, *rbuf, stride, myrank, disp[2], blocklen[2];
MPI_Datatype stype,types[2];
int *displs,i,*rcounts,num;
...

```

```
1
2 MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
3 MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myrank );
4
5 /* First, gather nums to root
6  */
7 rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
8 MPI_Gather( &num, 1, MPI_INT, rcounts, 1, MPI_INT, root, comm);
9 /* root now has correct rcounts, using these we set displs[] so
10  * that data is placed contiguously (or concatenated) at receive end
11  */
12 displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
13 displs[0] = 0;
14 for (i=1; i<gsize; ++i) {
15     displs[i] = displs[i-1]+rcounts[i-1];
16 }
17 /* And, create receive buffer
18  */
19 rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*(displs[gsize-1]+rcounts[gsize-1])
20                                     *sizeof(int));
21 /* Create datatype for one int, with extent of entire row
22  */
23 disp[0] = 0;      disp[1] = 150*sizeof(int);
24 type[0] = MPI_INT; type[1] = MPI_UB;
25 blocklen[0] = 1; blocklen[1] = 1;
26 MPI_Type_struct( 2, blocklen, disp, type, &stype );
27 MPI_Type_commit( &stype );
28 sptr = &sendarray[0][myrank];
29 MPI_Gatherv( sptr, num, stype, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
30                                     root, comm);
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

## 5.6 Scatter

```
MPI_SCATTER( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, comm)
```

IN	sendbuf	address of send buffer (choice, significant only at root)
IN	sendcount	number of elements sent to each process (non-negative integer, significant only at root)
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (significant only at root) (handle)
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	recvcount	number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
IN	root	rank of sending process (integer)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)

```
int MPI_Scatter(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
               void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root,
               MPI_Comm comm)
```

```
MPI_SCATTER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
            ROOT, COMM, IERROR)
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR
```

```
void MPI::Comm::Scatter(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const
                       MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount,
                       const MPI::Datatype& recvtype, int root) const = 0
```

MPI\_SCATTER is the inverse operation to MPI\_GATHER.

If comm is an intracommunicator, the outcome is *as if* the root executed n send operations,

```
MPI_Send(sendbuf + i · sendcount · extent(sendtype), sendcount, sendtype, i, ...),
```

and each process executed a receive,

```
MPI_Recv(recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, i, ...).
```

An alternative description is that the root sends a message with MPI\_Send(sendbuf, sendcount·n, sendtype, ...). This message is split into n equal segments, the *i*-th segment is sent to the *i*-th process in the group, and each process receives this message as above.

The send buffer is ignored for all non-root processes.

The type signature associated with sendcount, sendtype at the root must be equal to the type signature associated with recvcount, recvtype at all processes (however, the type maps may be different). This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between each process and the root. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

1 All arguments to the function are significant on process `root`, while on other processes,  
 2 only arguments `recvbuf`, `recvcount`, `recvtype`, `root`, and `comm` are significant. The arguments  
 3 `root` and `comm` must have identical values on all processes.

4 The specification of counts and types should not cause any location on the root to be  
 5 read more than once.

6  
 7 *Rationale.* Though not needed, the last restriction is imposed so as to achieve  
 8 symmetry with `MPI_GATHER`, where the corresponding restriction (a multiple-write  
 9 restriction) is necessary. (*End of rationale.*)

10  
 11 The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing `MPI_IN_PLACE` as  
 12 the value of `recvbuf` at the root. In such case, `recvcount` and `recvtype` are ignored, and root  
 13 “sends” no data to itself. The scattered vector is still assumed to contain  $n$  segments, where  
 14  $n$  is the group size; the  $root$ -th segment, which root should “send to itself,” is not moved.

15 If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercom-  
 16 municator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the  
 17 other group (group B) pass the same value in argument `root`, which is the rank of the root  
 18 in group A. The root passes the value `MPI_ROOT` in `root`. All other processes in group A  
 19 pass the value `MPI_PROC_NULL` in `root`. Data is scattered from the root to all processes in  
 20 group B. The receive buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with  
 21 the send buffer argument of the root.

22  
 23 `MPI_SCATTERV( sendbuf, sendcounts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root,`  
 24 `comm)`

25			
26	IN	<code>sendbuf</code>	address of send buffer (choice, significant only at root)
27	IN	<code>sendcounts</code>	non-negative integer array (of length group size) speci- 28 fying the number of elements to send to each processor
29			
30	IN	<code>displs</code>	integer array (of length group size). Entry $i$ specifies 31 the displacement (relative to <code>sendbuf</code> ) from which to 32 take the outgoing data to process $i$
33			
34	IN	<code>sendtype</code>	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
35	OUT	<code>recvbuf</code>	address of receive buffer (choice)
36	IN	<code>recvcount</code>	number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in- 37 teger)
38			
39	IN	<code>recvtype</code>	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
40	IN	<code>root</code>	rank of sending process (integer)
41	IN	<code>comm</code>	communicator (handle)
42			

43  
 44 `int MPI_Scatterv(void* sendbuf, int *sendcounts, int *displs,`  
 45 `MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount,`  
 46 `MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)`

47 `MPI_SCATTERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNT,`  
 48 `RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR)`



```

<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(*), DISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT,
COMM, IERROR
void MPI::Comm::Scatterv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[],
                        const int displs[], const MPI::Datatype& sendtype,
                        void* recvbuf, int recvcount, const MPI::Datatype& recvtype,
                        int root) const = 0

```

MPI\_SCATTERV is the inverse operation to MPI\_GATHERV.

MPI\_SCATTERV extends the functionality of MPI\_SCATTER by allowing a varying count of data to be sent to each process, since `sendcounts` is now an array. It also allows more flexibility as to where the data is taken from on the root, by providing an additional argument, `displs`.

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, the outcome is as if the root executed `n` send operations,

```
MPI_Send(sendbuf + displs[i] · extent(sendtype), sendcounts[i], sendtype, i, ...),
```

and each process executed a receive,

```
MPI_Recv(recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, i, ...).
```

The send buffer is ignored for all non-root processes.

The type signature implied by `sendcount[i]`, `sendtype` at the root must be equal to the type signature implied by `recvcount`, `recvtype` at process `i` (however, the type maps may be different). This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between each process and the root. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

All arguments to the function are significant on process `root`, while on other processes, only arguments `recvbuf`, `recvcount`, `recvtype`, `root`, and `comm` are significant. The arguments `root` and `comm` must have identical values on all processes.

The specification of counts, types, and displacements should not cause any location on the root to be read more than once.

The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing `MPI_IN_PLACE` as the value of `recvbuf` at the root. In such case, `recvcount` and `recvtype` are ignored, and root “sends” no data to itself. The scattered vector is still assumed to contain  $n$  segments, where  $n$  is the group size; the  $root$ -th segment, which root should “send to itself,” is not moved.

If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercommunicator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the other group (group B) pass the same value in argument `root`, which is the rank of the root in group A. The root passes the value `MPI_ROOT` in `root`. All other processes in group A pass the value `MPI_PROC_NULL` in `root`. Data is scattered from the root to all processes in group B. The receive buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with the send buffer argument of the root.

### 5.6.1 Examples using MPI\_SCATTER, MPI\_SCATTERV

The examples in this section use intracommunicators.

**Example 5.11** The reverse of Example 5.2. Scatter sets of 100 ints from the root to each process in the group. See Figure 5.9.




Figure 5.9: The root process scatters sets of 100 ints to each process in the group.

```

12 MPI_Comm comm;
13 int gsize,*sendbuf;
14 int root, rbuf[100];
15 ...
16 MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
17 sendbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*100*sizeof(int));
18 ...
19 MPI_Scatter( sendbuf, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI_INT, root, comm);

```

**Example 5.12** The reverse of Example 5.5. The root process scatters sets of 100 ints to the other processes, but the sets of 100 are *stride ints* apart in the sending buffer. Requires use of MPI\_SCATTERV. Assume *stride*  $\geq$  100. See Figure 5.10.

```

25 MPI_Comm comm;
26 int gsize,*sendbuf;
27 int root, rbuf[100], i, *displs, *scounts;
28 ...
29 ...
30 ...
31 MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
32 sendbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
33 ...
34 displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
35 scounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
36 for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {
37     displs[i] = i*stride;
38     scounts[i] = 100;
39 }
40 MPI_Scatterv( sendbuf, scounts, displs, MPI_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI_INT,
41              root, comm);

```

**Example 5.13** The reverse of Example 5.9. We have a varying stride between blocks at sending (root) side, at the receiving side we receive into the *i*-th column of a  $100 \times 150$  C array. See Figure 5.11.

```

48 MPI_Comm comm;

```




Figure 5.10: The root process scatters sets of 100 ints, moving by `stride` ints from send to send in the scatter.

```

int gsize, recvarray[100][150], *rptr;
int root, *sendbuf, myrank, bufsize, *stride;
MPI_Datatype rtype;
int i, *displs, *scounts, offset;
...
MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myrank );

stride = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
...
/* stride[i] for i = 0 to gsize-1 is set somehow
 * sendbuf comes from elsewhere
 */
...
displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
scounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
offset = 0;
for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {
    displs[i] = offset;
    offset += stride[i];
    scounts[i] = 100 - i;
}
/* Create datatype for the column we are receiving
 */
MPI_Type_vector( 100-myrank, 1, 150, MPI_INT, &rtype);
MPI_Type_commit( &rtype );
rptr = &recvarray[0][myrank];
MPI_Scatterv( sendbuf, scounts, displs, MPI_INT, rptr, 1, rtype,
              root, comm);

```




Figure 5.11: The root scatters blocks of  $100-i$  ints into column  $i$  of a  $100 \times 150$  C array. At the sending side, the blocks are `stride[i]` ints apart.

## 5.7 Gather-to-all

```

17 MPI_ALLGATHER( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, comm)
18
19     IN      sendbuf      starting address of send buffer (choice)
20     IN      sendcount    number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
21
22     IN      sendtype     data type of send buffer elements (handle)
23
24     OUT     recvbuf      address of receive buffer (choice)
25     IN      recvcount    number of elements received from any process (non-negative integer)
26
27     IN      recvtype     data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
28
29     IN      comm         communicator (handle)

```

```

30
31 int MPI_Allgather(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
32                 void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype,
33                 MPI_Comm comm)

```

```

34 MPI_ALLGATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
35              COMM, IERROR)
36 <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
37 INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR

```

```

38
39 void MPI::Comm::Allgather(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const
40                          MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount,
41                          const MPI::Datatype& recvtype) const = 0

```

`MPI_ALLGATHER` can be thought of as `MPI_GATHER`, but where all processes receive the result, instead of just the root. The block of data sent from the  $j$ -th process is received by every process and placed in the  $j$ -th block of the buffer `recvbuf`.

The type signature associated with `sendcount`, `sendtype`, at a process must be equal to the type signature associated with `recvcount`, `recvtype` at any other process.

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, the outcome of a call to `MPI_ALLGATHER(...)` is as if all processes executed `n` calls to

```
MPI_GATHER(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,
           recvtype, root, comm),
```

for `root = 0, ..., n-1`. The rules for correct usage of `MPI_ALLGATHER` are easily found from the corresponding rules for `MPI_GATHER`.

The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing the value `MPI_IN_PLACE` to the argument `sendbuf` at all processes. `sendcount` and `sendtype` are ignored. Then the input data of each process is assumed to be in the area where that process would receive its own contribution to the receive buffer.

If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then each process in group A contributes a data item; these items are concatenated and the result is stored at each process in group B. Conversely the concatenation of the contributions of the processes in group B is stored at each process in group A. The send buffer arguments in group A must be consistent with the receive buffer arguments in group B, and vice versa.

*Advice to users.* The communication pattern of `MPI_ALLGATHER` executed on an intercommunication domain need not be symmetric. The number of items sent by processes in group A (as specified by the arguments `sendcount`, `sendtype` in group A and the arguments `recvcount`, `recvtype` in group B), need not equal the number of items sent by processes in group B (as specified by the arguments `sendcount`, `sendtype` in group B and the arguments `recvcount`, `recvtype` in group A). In particular, one can move data in only one direction by specifying `sendcount = 0` for the communication in the reverse direction.

*(End of advice to users.)*

```
MPI_ALLGATHERV( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcnts, displs, recvtype, comm)
```

IN	<code>sendbuf</code>	starting address of send buffer (choice)
IN	<code>sendcount</code>	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	<code>sendtype</code>	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	<code>recvbuf</code>	address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	<code>recvcnts</code>	non-negative integer array (of length group size) containing the number of elements that are received from each process
IN	<code>displs</code>	integer array (of length group size). Entry <code>i</code> specifies the displacement (relative to <code>recvbuf</code> ) at which to place the incoming data from process <code>i</code>
IN	<code>recvtype</code>	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
IN	<code>comm</code>	communicator (handle)

```
int MPI_Allgatherv(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
                  void* recvbuf, int *recvcnts, int *displs,
```

```

1      MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm)
2
3  MPI_ALLGATHERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNTS, DISPLS,
4      RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR)
5  <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
6  INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, REVCOUNTS(*), DISPLS(*), RECVTYPE, COMM,
7  IERROR
8
9  void MPI::Comm::Allgatherv(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const
10     MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf,
11     const int recvcnts[], const int displs[],
12     const MPI::Datatype& recvtype) const = 0

```

13 MPI\_ALLGATHERV can be thought of as MPI\_GATHERV, but where all processes re-  
14 ceive the result, instead of just the root. The block of data sent from the  $j$ -th process is  
15 received by every process and placed in the  $j$ -th block of the buffer `recvbuf`. These blocks  
16 need not all be the same size.

17 The type signature associated with `sendcount`, `sendtype`, at process  $j$  must be equal to  
18 the type signature associated with `recvcnts[j]`, `recvtype` at any other process.

19 If `comm` is an intracommunicator, the outcome is as if all processes executed calls to

```

20     MPI_GATHERV(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcnts, displs,
21               recvtype, root, comm),

```

22 for `root = 0, ..., n-1`. The rules for correct usage of MPI\_ALLGATHERV are easily  
23 found from the corresponding rules for MPI\_GATHERV.

24 The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing the value  
25 MPI\_IN\_PLACE to the argument `sendbuf` at all processes. `sendcount` and `sendtype` are ignored.  
26 Then the input data of each process is assumed to be in the area where that process would  
27 receive its own contribution to the receive buffer.

28 If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then each process in group A contributes a data  
29 item; these items are concatenated and the result is stored at each process in group B.  
30 Conversely the concatenation of the contributions of the processes in group B is stored at  
31 each process in group A. The send buffer arguments in group A must be consistent with  
32 the receive buffer arguments in group B, and vice versa.

### 34 5.7.1 Examples using MPI\_ALLGATHER, MPI\_ALLGATHERV

35 The examples in this section use intracommunicators.

36 **Example 5.14** The all-gather version of Example 5.2. Using MPI\_ALLGATHER, we will  
37 gather 100 ints from every process in the group to every process.

```

38
39
40     MPI_Comm comm;
41     int gsize, sendarray[100];
42     int *rbuf;
43     ...
44     MPI_Comm_size( comm, &gsize);
45     rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*100*sizeof(int));
46     MPI_Allgather( sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI_INT, comm);

```

47 After the call, every process has the group-wide concatenation of the sets of data.  
48

## 5.8 All-to-All Scatter/Gather

```
MPI_ALLTOALL(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcnt, recvtpe, comm)
```

IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
IN	sendcount	number of elements sent to each process (non-negative integer)
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	recvcnt	number of elements received from any process (non-negative integer)
IN	recvtpe	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)

```
int MPI_Alltoall(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
                void* recvbuf, int recvcnt, MPI_Datatype recvtpe,
                MPI_Comm comm)
```

```
MPI_ALLTOALL(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
             COMM, IERROR)
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR
```

```
void MPI::Comm::Alltoall(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const
                        MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcnt,
                        const MPI::Datatype& recvtpe) const = 0
```

MPI\_ALLTOALL is an extension of MPI\_ALLGATHER to the case where each process sends distinct data to each of the receivers. The  $j$ -th block sent from process  $i$  is received by process  $j$  and is placed in the  $i$ -th block of `recvbuf`.

The type signature associated with `sendcount`, `sendtype`, at a process must be equal to the type signature associated with `recvcnt`, `recvtpe` at any other process. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of processes. As usual, however, the type maps may be different.

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, the outcome is as if each process executed a send to each process (itself included) with a call to,

```
MPI_Send(sendbuf + i * sendcount * extent(sendtype), sendcount, sendtype, i, ...),
```

and a receive from every other process with a call to,

```
MPI_Recv(recvbuf + i * recvcnt * extent(recvtpe), recvcnt, recvtpe, i, ...).
```

All arguments on all processes are significant. The argument `comm` must have identical values on all processes.

No “in place” option is supported.

If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the outcome is as if each process in group A sends a message to each process in group B, and vice versa. The  $j$ -th send buffer of process

`i` in group A should be consistent with the `i`-th receive buffer of process `j` in group B, and vice versa.

*Advice to users.* When all-to-all is executed on an intercommunication domain, then the number of data items sent from processes in group A to processes in group B need not equal the number of items sent in the reverse direction. In particular, one can have unidirectional communication by specifying `sendcount = 0` in the reverse direction.

*(End of advice to users.)*

`MPI_ALLTOALLV(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcoun-  
type, comm)`

IN	<code>sendbuf</code>	starting address of send buffer (choice)
IN	<code>sendcounts</code>	non-negative integer array equal to the group size specifying the number of elements to send to each processor
IN	<code>sdispls</code>	integer array (of length group size). Entry <code>j</code> specifies the displacement (relative to <code>sendbuf</code> ) from which to take the outgoing data destined for process <code>j</code>
IN	<code>sendtype</code>	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	<code>recvbuf</code>	address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	<code>recvcoun- ts</code>	non-negative integer array equal to the group size specifying the number of elements that can be received from each processor
IN	<code>rdispls</code>	integer array (of length group size). Entry <code>i</code> specifies the displacement (relative to <code>recvbuf</code> ) at which to place the incoming data from process <code>i</code>
IN	<code>recvtype</code>	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
IN	<code>comm</code>	communicator (handle)

```
int MPI_Alltoallv(void* sendbuf, int *sendcounts, int *sdispls,
                 MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, int *recvcoun-
                 ts, int *rdispls, MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm)
MPI_ALLTOALLV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS,
              RDISPLS, RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR)
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(*), SDISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), RDISPLS(*),
RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR
```

```
void MPI::Comm::Alltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[],
                          const int sdispls[], const MPI::Datatype& sendtype,
                          void* recvbuf, const int recvcoun-
                          ts[], const int rdispls[],
                          const MPI::Datatype& recvtype) const = 0
```



MPI\_ALLTOALLV adds flexibility to MPI\_ALLTOALL in that the location of data for the send is specified by `sdispls` and the location of the placement of the data on the receive side is specified by `rdispls`.

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, then the  $j$ -th block sent from process  $i$  is received by process  $j$  and is placed in the  $i$ -th block of `recvbuf`. These blocks need not all have the same size.

The type signature associated with `sendcount[j]`, `sendtype` at process  $i$  must be equal to the type signature associated with `recvcount[i]`, `recvtype` at process  $j$ . This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of processes. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

The outcome is as if each process sent a message to every other process with,

```
MPI_Send(sendbuf + displs[i] · extent(sendtype), sendcounts[i], sendtype, i, ...),
```

and received a message from every other process with a call to

```
MPI_Recv(recvbuf + displs[i] · extent(recvtype), recvcounts[i], recvtype, i, ...).
```

All arguments on all processes are significant. The argument `comm` must have identical values on all processes.

No “in place” option is supported.

If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the outcome is as if each process in group A sends a message to each process in group B, and vice versa. The  $j$ -th send buffer of process  $i$  in group A should be consistent with the  $i$ -th receive buffer of process  $j$  in group B, and vice versa.

*Rationale.* The definitions of MPI\_ALLTOALL and MPI\_ALLTOALLV give as much flexibility as one would achieve by specifying  $n$  independent, point-to-point communications, with two exceptions: all messages use the same datatype, and messages are scattered from (or gathered to) sequential storage. (*End of rationale.*)

*Advice to implementors.* Although the discussion of collective communication in terms of point-to-point operation implies that each message is transferred directly from sender to receiver, implementations may use a tree communication pattern. Messages can be forwarded by intermediate nodes where they are split (for scatter) or concatenated (for gather), if this is more efficient. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

1	MPI_ALLTOALLW(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtypes, comm)		
2			
3	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
4			
5	IN	sendcounts	integer array equal to the group size specifying the
6			number of elements to send to each processor (array
7			of non-negative integers)
8	IN	sdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry j specifies
9			the displacement in bytes (relative to sendbuf) from
10			which to take the outgoing data destined for process
11			j (array of integers)
12	IN	sendtypes	array of datatypes (of length group size). Entry j
13			specifies the type of data to send to process j (array
14			of handles)
15	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
16			
17	IN	recvcounts	integer array equal to the group size specifying the
18			number of elements that can be received from each
19			processor (array of non-negative integers)
20	IN	rdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies
21			the displacement in bytes (relative to recvbuf) at which
22			to place the incoming data from process i (array of
23			integers)
24			
25	IN	recvtypes	array of datatypes (of length group size). Entry i
26			specifies the type of data received from process i (ar-
27			ray of handles)
28	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
29			

```

30 int MPI_Alltoallw(void *sendbuf, int sendcounts[], int sdispls[],
31                 MPI_Datatype sendtypes[], void *recvbuf, int recvcounts[],
32                 int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtypes[], MPI_Comm comm)
33
34 MPI_ALLTOALLW(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPES, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS,
35              RDISPLS, RECVTYPES, COMM, IERROR)
36 <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
37 INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(*), SDISPLS(*), SENDTYPES(*), RECVCOUNTS(*),
38 RDISPLS(*), RECVTYPES(*), COMM, IERROR
39
40 void MPI::Comm::Alltoallw(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[],
41                          const int sdispls[], const MPI::Datatype sendtypes[], void*
42                          recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], const
43                          MPI::Datatype recvtypes[]) const = 0

```

44 MPI\_ALLTOALLW is the most general form of All-to-all. Like  
45 MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_STRUCT, the most general type constructor, MPI\_ALLTOALLW al-  
46 lows separate specification of count, displacement and datatype. In addition, to allow maximum  
47 flexibility, the displacement of blocks within the send and receive buffers is specified  
48 in bytes.

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, then the  $j$ -th block sent from process  $i$  is received by process  $j$  and is placed in the  $i$ -th block of `recvbuf`. These blocks need not all have the same size.

The type signature associated with `sendcounts[j]`, `sendtypes[j]` at process  $i$  must be equal to the type signature associated with `recvcounts[i]`, `recvtypes[i]` at process  $j$ . This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of processes. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

The outcome is as if each process sent a message to every other process with

```
MPI_Send(sendbuf + sdispls[i], sendcounts[i], sendtypes[i], i, ...),
```

and received a message from every other process with a call to

```
MPI_Recv(recvbuf + rdispls[i], recvcounts[i], recvtypes[i], i, ...).
```

All arguments on all processes are significant. The argument `comm` must describe the same communicator on all processes.

No “in place” option is supported.

If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the outcome is as if each process in group A sends a message to each process in group B, and vice versa. The  $j$ -th send buffer of process  $i$  in group A should be consistent with the  $i$ -th receive buffer of process  $j$  in group B, and vice versa.

*Rationale.* The `MPI_ALLTOALLW` function generalizes several MPI functions by carefully selecting the input arguments. For example, by making all but one process have `sendcounts[i] = 0`, this achieves an `MPI_SCATTERW` function. (*End of rationale.*)

## 5.9 Global Reduction Operations

The functions in this section perform a global reduce operation (such as sum, max, logical AND, etc.) across all members of a group. The reduction operation can be either one of a predefined list of operations, or a user-defined operation. The global reduction functions come in several flavors: a reduce that returns the result of the reduction to one member of a group, an all-reduce that returns this result to all members of a group, and two scan (parallel prefix) operations. In addition, a reduce-scatter operation combines the functionality of a reduce and of a scatter operation.

## 5.9.1 Reduce

```

1 MPI_REDUCE( sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)
2
3
4

```

5	IN	sendbuf	address of send buffer (choice)
6			
7	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at
8			root)
9	IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte-
10			ger)
11	IN	datatype	data type of elements of send buffer (handle)
12			
13	IN	op	reduce operation (handle)
14	IN	root	rank of root process (integer)
15			
16	IN	comm	communicator (handle)

```

17
18 int MPI_Reduce(void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
19               MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, int root, MPI_Comm comm)
20
21 MPI_REDUCE(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, ROOT, COMM, IERROR)
22   <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
23   INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, ROOT, COMM, IERROR
24
25 void MPI::Comm::Reduce(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
26                       const MPI::Datatype& datatype, const MPI::Op& op, int root)
27   const = 0
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

```

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, `MPI_REDUCE` combines the elements provided in the input buffer of each process in the group, using the operation `op`, and returns the combined value in the output buffer of the process with rank `root`. The input buffer is defined by the arguments `sendbuf`, `count` and `datatype`; the output buffer is defined by the arguments `recvbuf`, `count` and `datatype`; both have the same number of elements, with the same type. The routine is called by all group members using the same arguments for `count`, `datatype`, `op`, `root` and `comm`. Thus, all processes provide input buffers and output buffers of the same length, with elements of the same type. Each process can provide one element, or a sequence of elements, in which case the combine operation is executed element-wise on each entry of the sequence. For example, if the operation is `MPI_MAX` and the send buffer contains two elements that are floating point numbers (`count = 2` and `datatype = MPI_FLOAT`), then `recvbuf(1) = global max(sendbuf(1))` and `recvbuf(2) = global max(sendbuf(2))`.

Section 5.9.2, lists the set of predefined operations provided by MPI. That section also enumerates the datatypes each operation can be applied to. In addition, users may define their own operations that can be overloaded to operate on several datatypes, either basic or derived. This is further explained in Section 5.9.5.

The operation `op` is always assumed to be associative. All predefined operations are also assumed to be commutative. Users may define operations that are assumed to be associative, but not commutative. The “canonical” evaluation order of a reduction is determined by the ranks of the processes in the group. However, the implementation can take advantage of associativity, or associativity and commutativity in order to change the order of evaluation.

This may change the result of the reduction for operations that are not strictly associative and commutative, such as floating point addition.

*Advice to implementors.* It is strongly recommended that MPI\_REDUCE be implemented so that the same result be obtained whenever the function is applied on the same arguments, appearing in the same order. Note that this may prevent optimizations that take advantage of the physical location of processors. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

The `datatype` argument of MPI\_REDUCE must be compatible with `op`. Predefined operators work only with the MPI types listed in Section 5.9.2 and Section 5.9.4. Furthermore, the `datatype` and `op` given for predefined operators must be the same on all processes.

Note that it is possible for users to supply different user-defined operations to MPI\_REDUCE in each process. MPI does not define which operations are used on which operands in this case. User-defined operators may operate on general, derived datatypes. In this case, each argument that the reduce operation is applied to is one element described by such a datatype, which may contain several basic values. This is further explained in Section 5.9.5.

*Advice to users.* Users should make no assumptions about how MPI\_REDUCE is implemented. Safest is to ensure that the same function is passed to MPI\_REDUCE by each process. (*End of advice to users.*)

Overlapping datatypes are permitted in “send” buffers. Overlapping datatypes in “receive” buffers are erroneous and may give unpredictable results.

The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing the value MPI\_IN\_PLACE to the argument `sendbuf` at the root. In such case, the input data is taken at the root from the receive buffer, where it will be replaced by the output data.

If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercommunicator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the other group (group B) pass the same value in argument `root`, which is the rank of the root in group A. The root passes the value MPI\_ROOT in `root`. All other processes in group A pass the value MPI\_PROC\_NULL in `root`. Only send buffer arguments are significant in group B and only receive buffer arguments are significant at the root.

## 5.9.2 Predefined Reduction Operations

The following predefined operations are supplied for MPI\_REDUCE and related functions MPI\_ALLREDUCE, MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER, MPI\_SCAN, and MPI\_EXSCAN. These operations are invoked by placing the following in `op`.

Name	Meaning
MPI_MAX	maximum
MPI_MIN	minimum
MPI_SUM	sum
MPI_PROD	product
MPI_LAND	logical and
MPI_BAND	bit-wise and

1	MPI_LOR	logical or
2	MPI_BOR	bit-wise or
3	MPI_LXOR	logical exclusive or (xor)
4	MPI_BXOR	bit-wise exclusive or (xor)
5	MPI_MAXLOC	max value and location
6	MPI_MINLOC	min value and location

7 The two operations MPI\_MINLOC and MPI\_MAXLOC are discussed separately in Sec-  
 8 tion 5.9.4. For the other predefined operations, we enumerate below the allowed combi-  
 9 nations of op and datatype arguments. First, define groups of MPI basic datatypes in the  
 10 following way.

13	C integer:	MPI_INT, MPI_LONG, MPI_SHORT, MPI_UNSIGNED_SHORT, MPI_UNSIGNED, MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG, MPI_LONG_LONG_INT, MPI_LONG_LONG (as synonym), MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG_LONG, MPI_SIGNED_CHAR, MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR
20	Fortran integer:	MPI_INTEGER
21	Floating point:	MPI_FLOAT, MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_REAL, MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION MPI_LONG_DOUBLE
24	Logical:	MPI_LOGICAL
25	Complex:	MPI_COMPLEX
26	Byte:	MPI_BYTE

27 Now, the valid datatypes for each option is specified below.

30	Op	Allowed Types
32	MPI_MAX, MPI_MIN	C integer, Fortran integer, Floating point
33	MPI_SUM, MPI_PROD	C integer, Fortran integer, Floating point, Complex
34	MPI_LAND, MPI_LOR, MPI_LXOR	C integer, Logical
35	MPI_BAND, MPI_BOR, MPI_BXOR	C integer, Fortran integer, Byte

36 The following examples use intracommunicators.

38 **Example 5.15** A routine that computes the dot product of two vectors that are distributed  
 39 across a group of processes and returns the answer at node zero.

```
40
41 SUBROUTINE PAR_BLAS1(m, a, b, c, comm)
42 REAL a(m), b(m)      ! local slice of array
43 REAL c               ! result (at node zero)
44 REAL sum
45 INTEGER m, comm, i, ierr
46
47 ! local sum
48 sum = 0.0
```

```

DO i = 1, m
    sum = sum + a(i)*b(i)
END DO

! global sum
CALL MPI_REDUCE(sum, c, 1, MPI_REAL, MPI_SUM, 0, comm, ierr)
RETURN

```

**Example 5.16** A routine that computes the product of a vector and an array that are distributed across a group of processes and returns the answer at node zero.

```

SUBROUTINE PAR_BLAS2(m, n, a, b, c, comm)
REAL a(m), b(m,n)    ! local slice of array
REAL c(n)           ! result
REAL sum(n)
INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr

! local sum
DO j= 1, n
    sum(j) = 0.0
    DO i = 1, m
        sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j)
    END DO
END DO

! global sum
CALL MPI_REDUCE(sum, c, n, MPI_REAL, MPI_SUM, 0, comm, ierr)

! return result at node zero (and garbage at the other nodes)
RETURN

```

### 5.9.3 Signed Characters and Reductions

The types `MPI_SIGNED_CHAR` and `MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR` can be used in reduction operations. `MPI_CHAR` (which represents printable characters) cannot be used in reduction operations. In a heterogeneous environment, `MPI_CHAR` and `MPI_WCHAR` will be translated so as to preserve the printable character, whereas `MPI_SIGNED_CHAR` and `MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR` will be translated so as to preserve the integer value.

*Advice to users.* The types `MPI_CHAR` and `MPI_CHARACTER` are intended for characters, and so will be translated to preserve the printable representation, rather than the integer value, if sent between machines with different character codes. The types `MPI_SIGNED_CHAR` and `MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR` should be used in C if the integer value should be preserved. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 5.9.4 MINLOC and MAXLOC

The operator `MPI_MINLOC` is used to compute a global minimum and also an index attached to the minimum value. `MPI_MAXLOC` similarly computes a global maximum and index. One application of these is to compute a global minimum (maximum) and the rank of the process containing this value.

The operation that defines `MPI_MAXLOC` is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ i \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} v \\ j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$w = \max(u, v)$$

and

$$k = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } u > v \\ \min(i, j) & \text{if } u = v \\ j & \text{if } u < v \end{cases}$$

`MPI_MINLOC` is defined similarly:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ i \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} v \\ j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$w = \min(u, v)$$

and

$$k = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } u < v \\ \min(i, j) & \text{if } u = v \\ j & \text{if } u > v \end{cases}$$

Both operations are associative and commutative. Note that if `MPI_MAXLOC` is applied to reduce a sequence of pairs  $(u_0, 0), (u_1, 1), \dots, (u_{n-1}, n-1)$ , then the value returned is  $(u, r)$ , where  $u = \max_i u_i$  and  $r$  is the index of the first global maximum in the sequence. Thus, if each process supplies a value and its rank within the group, then a reduce operation with `op = MPI_MAXLOC` will return the maximum value and the rank of the first process with that value. Similarly, `MPI_MINLOC` can be used to return a minimum and its index. More generally, `MPI_MINLOC` computes a *lexicographic minimum*, where elements are ordered according to the first component of each pair, and ties are resolved according to the second component.

The reduce operation is defined to operate on arguments that consist of a pair: value and index. For both Fortran and C, types are provided to describe the pair. The potentially mixed-type nature of such arguments is a problem in Fortran. The problem is circumvented, for Fortran, by having the MPI-provided type consist of a pair of the same type as value, and coercing the index to this type also. In C, the MPI-provided pair type has distinct types and the index is an `int`.

In order to use `MPI_MINLOC` and `MPI_MAXLOC` in a reduce operation, one must provide a `datatype` argument that represents a pair (value and index). MPI provides nine such



predefined datatypes. The operations `MPI_MAXLOC` and `MPI_MINLOC` can be used with each of the following datatypes.

Fortran:

Name	Description
<code>MPI_2REAL</code>	pair of <code>REAL</code> s
<code>MPI_2DOUBLE_PRECISION</code>	pair of <code>DOUBLE PRECISION</code> variables
<code>MPI_2INTEGER</code>	pair of <code>INTEGER</code> s

C:

Name	Description
<code>MPI_FLOAT_INT</code>	float and int
<code>MPI_DOUBLE_INT</code>	double and int
<code>MPI_LONG_INT</code>	long and int
<code>MPI_2INT</code>	pair of int
<code>MPI_SHORT_INT</code>	short and int
<code>MPI_LONG_DOUBLE_INT</code>	long double and int

The datatype `MPI_2REAL` is *as if* defined by the following (see Section ??).

```
MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(2, MPI_REAL, MPI_2REAL)
```

Similar statements apply for `MPI_2INTEGER`, `MPI_2DOUBLE_PRECISION`, and `MPI_2INT`.

The datatype `MPI_FLOAT_INT` is *as if* defined by the following sequence of instructions.

```
type[0] = MPI_FLOAT
type[1] = MPI_INT
disp[0] = 0
disp[1] = sizeof(float)
block[0] = 1
block[1] = 1
MPI_TYPE_STRUCT(2, block, disp, type, MPI_FLOAT_INT)
```

Similar statements apply for `MPI_LONG_INT` and `MPI_DOUBLE_INT`.

The following examples use intracommunicators.

**Example 5.17** Each process has an array of 30 doubles, in C. For each of the 30 locations, compute the value and rank of the process containing the largest value.

```
...
/* each process has an array of 30 double: ain[30]
*/
double ain[30], aout[30];
int ind[30];
struct {
    double val;
    int rank;
} in[30], out[30];
int i, myrank, root;
```

```

1
2 MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
3 for (i=0; i<30; ++i) {
4     in[i].val = ain[i];
5     in[i].rank = myrank;
6 }
7 MPI_Reduce( in, out, 30, MPI_DOUBLE_INT, MPI_MAXLOC, root, comm );
8 /* At this point, the answer resides on process root
9  */
10 if (myrank == root) {
11     /* read ranks out
12     */
13     for (i=0; i<30; ++i) {
14         aout[i] = out[i].val;
15         ind[i] = out[i].rank;
16     }
17 }
18
19
20

```

**Example 5.18** Same example, in Fortran.

```

21
22 ...
23 ! each process has an array of 30 double: ain(30)
24
25 DOUBLE PRECISION ain(30), aout(30)
26 INTEGER ind(30)
27 DOUBLE PRECISION in(2,30), out(2,30)
28 INTEGER i, myrank, root, ierr
29
30 CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, myrank, ierr)
31 DO I=1, 30
32     in(1,i) = ain(i)
33     in(2,i) = myrank ! myrank is coerced to a double
34 END DO
35
36 CALL MPI_REDUCE( in, out, 30, MPI_2DOUBLE_PRECISION, MPI_MAXLOC, root,
37                comm, ierr )
38
39 ! At this point, the answer resides on process root
40
41 IF (myrank .EQ. root) THEN
42     ! read ranks out
43     DO I= 1, 30
44         aout(i) = out(1,i)
45         ind(i) = out(2,i) ! rank is coerced back to an integer
46     END DO
47 END IF
48

```

**Example 5.19** Each process has a non-empty array of values. Find the minimum global value, the rank of the process that holds it and its index on this process.

```

#define LEN 1000
1
2
float val[LEN]; /* local array of values */
3
int count; /* local number of values */
4
int myrank, minrank, minindex;
5
float minval;
6
7
struct {
8
    float value;
9
    int index;
10
} in, out;
11
12
/* local minloc */
13
in.value = val[0];
14
in.index = 0;
15
for (i=1; i < count; i++)
16
    if (in.value > val[i]) {
17
        in.value = val[i];
18
        in.index = i;
19
    }
20
21
/* global minloc */
22
MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
23
in.index = myrank*LEN + in.index;
24
MPI_Reduce( in, out, 1, MPI_FLOAT_INT, MPI_MINLOC, root, comm );
25
/* At this point, the answer resides on process root
26
*/
27
if (myrank == root) {
28
    /* read answer out
29
    */
30
    minval = out.value;
31
    minrank = out.index / LEN;
32
    minindex = out.index % LEN;
33
}
34
35

```

*Rationale.* The definition of MPI\_MINLOC and MPI\_MAXLOC given here has the advantage that it does not require any special-case handling of these two operations: they are handled like any other reduce operation. A programmer can provide his or her own definition of MPI\_MAXLOC and MPI\_MINLOC, if so desired. The disadvantage is that values and indices have to be first interleaved, and that indices and values have to be coerced to the same type, in Fortran. (*End of rationale.*)

36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

### 5.9.5 User-Defined Reduction Operations

MPI\_OP\_CREATE(function, commute, op)

IN	function	user defined function (function)
IN	commute	true if commutative; false otherwise.
OUT	op	operation (handle)

```
int MPI_Op_create(MPI_User_function *function, int commute, MPI_Op *op)
```

```
MPI_OP_CREATE( FUNCTION, COMMUTE, OP, IERROR)
```

```
EXTERNAL FUNCTION
```

```
LOGICAL COMMUTE
```

```
INTEGER OP, IERROR
```

```
void MPI::Op::Init(MPI::User_function* function, bool commute)
```

MPI\_OP\_CREATE binds a user-defined global operation to an op handle that can subsequently be used in MPI\_REDUCE, MPI\_ALLREDUCE, MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER, MPI\_SCAN, and MPI\_EXSCAN. The user-defined operation is assumed to be associative. If `commute = true`, then the operation should be both commutative and associative. If `commute = false`, then the order of operands is fixed and is defined to be in ascending, process rank order, beginning with process zero. The order of evaluation can be changed, taking advantage of the associativity of the operation. If `commute = true` then the order of evaluation can be changed, taking advantage of commutativity and associativity.

`function` is the user-defined function, which must have the following four arguments: `invec`, `inoutvec`, `len` and `datatype`.

The ISO C prototype for the function is the following.

```
typedef void MPI_User_function(void *invec, void *inoutvec, int *len,
                               MPI_Datatype *datatype);
```

The Fortran declaration of the user-defined function appears below.

```
SUBROUTINE USER_FUNCTION(INVEC, INOUTVEC, LEN, TYPE)
  <type> INVEC(LEN), INOUTVEC(LEN)
  INTEGER LEN, TYPE
```

The C++ declaration of the user-defined function appears below.

```
typedef void MPI::User_function(const void* invec, void *inoutvec, int len,
                               const Datatype& datatype);
```

The `datatype` argument is a handle to the data type that was passed into the call to MPI\_REDUCE. The user reduce function should be written such that the following holds: Let `u[0], ... , u[len-1]` be the `len` elements in the communication buffer described by the arguments `invec`, `len` and `datatype` when the function is invoked; let `v[0], ... , v[len-1]` be `len` elements in the communication buffer described by the arguments `inoutvec`, `len` and `datatype` when the function is invoked; let `w[0], ... , w[len-1]` be `len` elements in the communication buffer described by the arguments `inoutvec`, `len` and `datatype` when the function returns; then `w[i] = u[i]○v[i]`, for `i=0 , ... , len-1`, where `○` is the reduce operation that the function computes.

Informally, we can think of `invec` and `inoutvec` as arrays of `len` elements that function is combining. The result of the reduction over-writes values in `inoutvec`, hence the name. Each invocation of the function results in the pointwise evaluation of the reduce operator on `len` elements: I.e, the function returns in `inoutvec[i]` the value `invec[i] o inoutvec[i]`, for  $i = 0, \dots, \text{count} - 1$ , where `o` is the combining operation computed by the function.

*Rationale.* The `len` argument allows `MPI_REDUCE` to avoid calling the function for each element in the input buffer. Rather, the system can choose to apply the function to chunks of input. In C, it is passed in as a reference for reasons of compatibility with Fortran.

By internally comparing the value of the `datatype` argument to known, global handles, it is possible to overload the use of a single user-defined function for several, different data types. (*End of rationale.*)

General datatypes may be passed to the user function. However, use of datatypes that are not contiguous is likely to lead to inefficiencies.

No MPI communication function may be called inside the user function. `MPI_ABORT` may be called inside the function in case of an error.

*Advice to users.* Suppose one defines a library of user-defined reduce functions that are overloaded: the `datatype` argument is used to select the right execution path at each invocation, according to the types of the operands. The user-defined reduce function cannot “decode” the `datatype` argument that it is passed, and cannot identify, by itself, the correspondence between the datatype handles and the datatype they represent. This correspondence was established when the datatypes were created. Before the library is used, a library initialization preamble must be executed. This preamble code will define the datatypes that are used by the library, and store handles to these datatypes in global, static variables that are shared by the user code and the library code.

The Fortran version of `MPI_REDUCE` will invoke a user-defined reduce function using the Fortran calling conventions and will pass a Fortran-type datatype argument; the C version will use C calling convention and the C representation of a datatype handle. Users who plan to mix languages should define their reduction functions accordingly. (*End of advice to users.*)

*Advice to implementors.* We outline below a naive and inefficient implementation of `MPI_REDUCE` not supporting the “in place” option.

```

MPI_Comm_size(comm, &groupsize);
MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &rank);
if (rank > 0) {
    MPI_Recv(tempbuf, count, datatype, rank-1,...);
    User_reduce(tempbuf, sendbuf, count, datatype);
}
if (rank < groupsize-1) {
    MPI_Send(sendbuf, count, datatype, rank+1, ...);
}
/* answer now resides in process groupsize-1 ... now send to root

```

```

1      */
2      if (rank == root) {
3          MPI_Irecv(recvbuf, count, datatype, groupsize-1, ..., &req);
4      }
5      if (rank == groupsize-1) {
6          MPI_Send(sendbuf, count, datatype, root, ...);
7      }
8      if (rank == root) {
9          MPI_Wait(&req, &status);
10     }
11

```

The reduction computation proceeds, sequentially, from process 0 to process `groupsize-1`. This order is chosen so as to respect the order of a possibly non-commutative operator defined by the function `User_reduce()`. A more efficient implementation is achieved by taking advantage of associativity and using a logarithmic tree reduction. Commutativity can be used to advantage, for those cases in which the `commute` argument to `MPI_OP_CREATE` is true. Also, the amount of temporary buffer required can be reduced, and communication can be pipelined with computation, by transferring and reducing the elements in chunks of size `len < count`.

The predefined reduce operations can be implemented as a library of user-defined operations. However, better performance might be achieved if `MPI_REDUCE` handles these functions as a special case. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

`MPI_OP_FREE( op)`

INOUT `op` operation (handle)

`int MPI_op_free( MPI_Op *op)`

`MPI_OP_FREE( OP, IERROR)`

INTEGER `OP`, `IERROR`

`void MPI::Op::Free()`

Marks a user-defined reduction operation for deallocation and sets `op` to `MPI_OP_NULL`.

### Example of User-defined Reduce

It is time for an example of user-defined reduction. The example in this section uses an intracommunicator.

**Example 5.20** Compute the product of an array of complex numbers, in C.

```

43 typedef struct {

```

```

44     double real, imag;

```

```

45 } Complex;

```

```

46
47
48 /* the user-defined function

```

```

*/
void myProd( Complex *in, Complex *inout, int *len, MPI_Datatype *dptr )
{
    int i;
    Complex c;

    for (i=0; i< *len; ++i) {
        c.real = inout->real*in->real -
                inout->imag*in->imag;
        c.imag = inout->real*in->imag +
                inout->imag*in->real;
        *inout = c;
        in++; inout++;
    }
}

/* and, to call it...
*/
...

/* each process has an array of 100 Complexes
*/
Complex a[100], answer[100];
MPI_Op myOp;
MPI_Datatype ctype;

/* explain to MPI how type Complex is defined
*/
MPI_Type_contiguous( 2, MPI_DOUBLE, &ctype );
MPI_Type_commit( &ctype );
/* create the complex-product user-op
*/
MPI_Op_create( myProd, True, &myOp );

MPI_Reduce( a, answer, 100, ctype, myOp, root, comm );

/* At this point, the answer, which consists of 100 Complexes,
* resides on process root
*/

```

### 5.9.6 All-Reduce

MPI includes a variant of the reduce operations where the result is returned to all processes in a group. MPI requires that all processes from the same group participating in these operations receive identical results.

```

1 MPI_ALLREDUCE( sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm)
2     IN      sendbuf      starting address of send buffer (choice)
3     OUT     recvbuf      starting address of receive buffer (choice)
4     IN      count        number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
5     IN      datatype     data type of elements of send buffer (handle)
6     IN      op           operation (handle)
7     IN      comm         communicator (handle)

```

```

12 int MPI_Allreduce(void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
13                 MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm)
14 MPI_ALLREDUCE(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR)
15     <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
16     INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR
18 void MPI::Comm::Allreduce(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
19                          const MPI::Datatype& datatype, const MPI::Op& op) const = 0

```

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, `MPI_ALLREDUCE` behaves the same as `MPI_REDUCE` except that the result appears in the receive buffer of all the group members.

*Advice to implementors.* The all-reduce operations can be implemented as a reduce, followed by a broadcast. However, a direct implementation can lead to better performance. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing the value `MPI_IN_PLACE` to the argument `sendbuf` at all processes. In this case, the input data is taken at each process from the receive buffer, where it will be replaced by the output data.

If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the result of the reduction of the data provided by processes in group A is stored at each process in group B, and vice versa. Both groups should provide `count` and `datatype` arguments that specify the same type signature.

The following example uses an intracommunicator.

**Example 5.21** A routine that computes the product of a vector and an array that are distributed across a group of processes and returns the answer at all nodes (see also Example 5.16).

```

39 SUBROUTINE PAR_BLAS2(m, n, a, b, c, comm)
40 REAL a(m), b(m,n)    ! local slice of array
41 REAL c(n)           ! result
42 REAL sum(n)
43 INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr
44
45 ! local sum
46 DO j= 1, n
47     sum(j) = 0.0
48     DO i = 1, m

```



```

    sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j)      1
  END DO                               2
END DO                                 3
                                       4
! global sum                           5
CALL MPI_ALLREDUCE(sum, c, n, MPI_REAL, MPI_SUM, comm, ierr) 6
                                       7
! return result at all nodes           8
RETURN                                 9
                                       10
                                       11

```

## 5.10 Reduce-Scatter

MPI includes a variant of the reduce operations where the result is scattered to all processes in a group on return.

```

MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER( sendbuf, recvbuf, recvcnts, datatype, op, comm) 18
IN      sendbuf          starting address of send buffer (choice) 19
OUT     recvbuf          starting address of receive buffer (choice) 20
IN      recvcnts         non-negative integer array specifying the number of 22
                        elements in result distributed to each process. Array 23
                        must be identical on all calling processes.          24
IN      datatype         data type of elements of input buffer (handle) 25
IN      op               operation (handle)                             26
IN      comm             communicator (handle)                          27
                                       28
                                       29
int MPI_Reduce_scatter(void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int *recvcnts, 30
                      MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm) 31
MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, 32
                  IERROR) 33
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) 34
INTEGER RECVCOUNTS(*), DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR 35
                                       36
void MPI::Comm::Reduce_scatter(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, 37
                              int recvcnts[], const MPI::Datatype& datatype, 38
                              const MPI::Op& op) const = 0 39

```

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, `MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER` first does an element-wise reduction on vector of  $\text{count} = \sum_i \text{recvcnts}[i]$  elements in the send buffer defined by `sendbuf`, `count` and `datatype`. Next, the resulting vector of results is split into `n` disjoint segments, where `n` is the number of members in the group. Segment `i` contains `recvcnts[i]` elements. The `i`-th segment is sent to process `i` and stored in the receive buffer defined by `recvbuf`, `recvcnts[i]` and `datatype`.

*Advice to implementors.* The `MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER` routine is functionally equivalent to: an `MPI_REDUCE` collective operation with `count` equal to the sum of

1        `recvcounts[i]` followed by `MPI_SCATTERV` with `sendcounts` equal to `recvcounts`. How-  
 2        ever, a direct implementation may run faster. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

3  
 4        The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing `MPI_IN_PLACE`  
 5        in the `sendbuf` argument. In this case, the input data is taken from the top of the receive  
 6        buffer.

7        If `comm` is an intercommunicator, then the result of the reduction of the data provided  
 8        by processes in group A is scattered among processes in group B, and vice versa. Within each  
 9        group, all processes provide the same `recvcounts` argument, and the sum of the `recvcounts`  
 10        entries should be the same for the two groups.

11        *Rationale.* The last restriction is needed so that the length of the send buffer can be  
 12        determined by the sum of the local `recvcounts` entries. Otherwise, a communication  
 13        is needed to figure out how many elements are reduced. (*End of rationale.*)

## 16    5.11 Scan

### 18    5.11.1 Inclusive Scan

21    `MPI_SCAN( sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm )`

23    IN	<code>sendbuf</code>	starting address of send buffer (choice)
24    OUT	<code>recvbuf</code>	starting address of receive buffer (choice)
25    IN	<code>count</code>	number of elements in input buffer (non-negative in-
26		teger)
27    IN	<code>datatype</code>	data type of elements of input buffer (handle)
28    IN	<code>op</code>	operation (handle)
29    IN	<code>comm</code>	communicator (handle)

32    `int MPI_Scan(void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,`  
 33        `MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm )`

34    `MPI_SCAN(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR)`  
 35        `<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)`  
 36        `INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR`

37    `void MPI::Intracomm::Scan(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,`  
 38        `const MPI::Datatype& datatype, const MPI::Op& op) const`

39  
 40  
 41        If `comm` is an intracommunicator, `MPI_SCAN` is used to perform a prefix reduction  
 42        on data distributed across the group. The operation returns, in the receive buffer of the  
 43        process with rank `i`, the reduction of the values in the send buffers of processes with ranks  
 44        `0, . . . , i` (inclusive). The type of operations supported, their semantics, and the constraints  
 45        on send and receive buffers are as for `MPI_REDUCE`.

46        The “in place” option for intracommunicators is specified by passing `MPI_IN_PLACE` in  
 47        the `sendbuf` argument. In this case, the input data is taken from the receive buffer, and  
 48        replaced by the output data.

This operation is invalid for intercommunicators.

### 5.11.2 Exclusive Scan

`MPI_EXSCAN(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm)`

IN	<code>sendbuf</code>	starting address of send buffer (choice)
OUT	<code>recvbuf</code>	starting address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	<code>count</code>	number of elements in input buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	<code>datatype</code>	data type of elements of input buffer (handle)
IN	<code>op</code>	operation (handle)
IN	<code>comm</code>	intracommunicator (handle)

```
int MPI_Exscan(void *sendbuf, void *recvbuf, int count,
               MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm)
```

```
MPI_EXSCAN(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR)
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR
```

```
void MPI::Intracomm::Exscan(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
                             const MPI::Datatype& datatype, const MPI::Op& op) const
```

If `comm` is an intracommunicator, `MPI_EXSCAN` is used to perform a prefix reduction on data distributed across the group. The value in `recvbuf` on the process with rank 0 is undefined, and `recvbuf` is not significant on process 0. The value in `recvbuf` on the process with rank 1 is defined as the value in `sendbuf` on the process with rank 0. For processes with rank  $i > 1$ , the operation returns, in the receive buffer of the process with rank  $i$ , the reduction of the values in the send buffers of processes with ranks  $0, \dots, i - 1$  (inclusive). The type of operations supported, their semantics, and the constraints on send and receive buffers, are as for `MPI_REDUCE`.

No “in place” option is supported.

This operation is invalid for intercommunicators.

*Advice to users.* As for `MPI_SCAN`, MPI does not specify which processes may call the operation, only that the result be correctly computed. In particular, note that the process with rank 1 need not call the `MPI_Op`, since all it needs to do is to receive the value from the process with rank 0. However, all processes, even the processes with ranks zero and one, must provide the same `op`. (*End of advice to users.*)

*Rationale.* The exclusive scan is more general than the inclusive scan. Any inclusive scan operation can be achieved by using the exclusive scan and then locally combining the local contribution. Note that for non-invertable operations such as `MPI_MAX`, the exclusive scan cannot be computed with the inclusive scan.

No in-place version is specified for `MPI_EXSCAN` because it is not clear what this means for the process with rank zero. (*End of rationale.*)

### 5.11.3 Example using MPI\_SCAN

The example in this section uses an intracommunicator.

**Example 5.22** This example uses a user-defined operation to produce a *segmented scan*. A segmented scan takes, as input, a set of values and a set of logicals, and the logicals delineate the various segments of the scan. For example:

<i>values</i>	$v_1$	$v_2$	$v_3$	$v_4$	$v_5$	$v_6$	$v_7$	$v_8$
<i>logicals</i>	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
<i>result</i>	$v_1$	$v_1 + v_2$	$v_3$	$v_3 + v_4$	$v_3 + v_4 + v_5$	$v_6$	$v_6 + v_7$	$v_8$

The operator that produces this effect is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ i \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} v \\ j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ j \end{pmatrix},$$

where,

$$w = \begin{cases} u + v & \text{if } i = j \\ v & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

Note that this is a non-commutative operator. C code that implements it is given below.

```

typedef struct {
    double val;
    int log;
} SegScanPair;

/* the user-defined function
*/
void segScan( SegScanPair *in, SegScanPair *inout, int *len,
              MPI_Datatype *dptr )
{
    int i;
    SegScanPair c;

    for (i=0; i< *len; ++i) {
        if ( in->log == inout->log )
            c.val = in->val + inout->val;
        else
            c.val = inout->val;
        c.log = inout->log;
        *inout = c;
        in++; inout++;
    }
}

```

Note that the `inout` argument to the user-defined function corresponds to the right-hand operand of the operator. When using this operator, we must be careful to specify that it is non-commutative, as in the following.

```

int i,base;
SeqScanPair  a, answer;
MPI_Op      myOp;
MPI_Datatype type[2] = {MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_INT};
MPI_Aint     disp[2];
int          blocklen[2] = { 1, 1};
MPI_Datatype sspair;

/* explain to MPI how type SegScanPair is defined
 */
MPI_Address( a, disp);
MPI_Address( a.log, disp+1);
base = disp[0];
for (i=0; i<2; ++i) disp[i] -= base;
MPI_Type_struct( 2, blocklen, disp, type, &sspair );
MPI_Type_commit( &sspair );
/* create the segmented-scan user-op
 */
MPI_Op_create( segScan, 0, &myOp );
...
MPI_Scan( a, answer, 1, sspair, myOp, comm );

```

## 5.12 Nonblocking Collective Operations

As described in Chapter ?? (Section 3.7), the performance of many systems can be improved by overlapping communication and computation. Nonblocking collective operations combine the potential to utilize overlap and avoid synchronization of nonblocking point-to-point operations with the optimized implementation and message scheduling of collective operations [1, 4]. One way of doing this would be to perform the collective operation in a separate thread. An alternative mechanism that often leads to better performance (i.e., avoids context switching and scheduler overheads and thread management [2]) is the use of nonblocking collective communication. The model is similar to point-to-point communications ?? (Section 3). A nonblocking start call is used to initiate a collective communication which is eventually completed by a separate call. As in the nonblocking point-to-point case, the communication may progress independently of the computations at all participating processes. Nonblocking collective communication can also be used to mitigate synchronizing effects of collective operations by running them in the “background”.

As in the point-to-point case, all start calls are local and return immediately with a request handle ?? (Section 3.7.1), irrespective of the status of other processes. Multiple nonblocking collective communications can be outstanding on a single communicator. If the call causes some system resource to be exhausted, then it will fail and return an error code. Quality implementations of MPI should ensure that this happens only in “pathological” cases. That is, an MPI implementation should be able to support a large number of pending nonblocking operations.

A nonblocking collective call indicates that the system may start copying data out of the send buffer and into the receive buffer. All associated buffers should not be accessed between the initiation and the completion of a nonblocking collective operation. Collective operations

1 complete locally when the local part of the operation has been performed (i.e., the semantics  
2 of the collective operation are guaranteed) and all buffers can be accessed. Similarly to the  
3 blocking case, completion does not imply that other processes have completed or even  
4 started the operation. However, calling blocking completion functions (e.g., `MPI_WAIT`)  
5 may synchronize the calling processes.

6  
7 *Advice to implementors.* The nonblocking interface allows the user to specify over-  
8 lapping communication and computation. High-quality MPI implementations should  
9 support the user by asynchronously progressing outstanding communications. (*End*  
10 *of advice to implementors.*)

11 All request test and wait functions (`MPI_{WAIT,TEST}{,ANY,SOME,ALL}`) described  
12 in Section ?? (Section 3.7.3) are supported for nonblocking collective communications.  
13 `MPI_REQUEST_FREE` is not supported.

14  
15 *Rationale.* After an active receive request was freed, there is no way to verify  
16 that the operation is completed and the receive buffer can be used ?? (page 55:22-  
17 23). Collective communications have send and receive semantics and thus, freeing a  
18 request is not useful. (*End of rationale.*)

19 `MPI_CANCEL` is not supported. Collective operations do not have a tag argument.

20  
21 *Rationale.* Not having tags for collective operations simplifies the implementation  
22 (especially for hardware-supported optimizations) and is consistent to blocking point-  
23 to-point operations. (*End of rationale.*)

24  
25 *Advice to implementors.* Nonblocking collective operations can be implemented  
26 with local execution schedules [3] using normal point-to-point communication and a  
27 reserved tag-space. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

28 All processes must call collective operations (blocking and nonblocking) in the same  
29 order. In particular, if some process calls a collective operation, all other processes in the  
30 communicator must eventually call the *same* collective operation, and no other collective  
31 operation in between. This is consistent with the ordering rules for blocking collective op-  
32 erations in threaded environments. Progression rules for nonblocking collectives are similar  
33 to progression of nonblocking point-to-point operations, refer to ?? (Section 3.7.4).

34  
35 *Rationale.* Matching blocking and nonblocking collectives is not allowed because  
36 the implementation might use different communication algorithms for the two cases.  
37 Blocking collectives only need to be optimized for their running time while nonblocking  
38 collectives have to find an equilibrium between time to completion, CPU overhead and  
39 asynchronous progression. (*End of rationale.*)

40  
41 *Advice to users.* If blocking semantics are required on some processes of the commu-  
42 nicator, then the user can use a nonblocking collective immediately followed by a call  
43 to wait in order to emulate blocking behavior. (*End of advice to users.*)

44 Status objects that are passed to `MPI_{WAIT,TEST}{,ANY,SOME,ALL}` will be ignored  
45 by the library (remain unchanged) if the request is associated to a nonblocking collective  
46 routine.

47  
48 *Advice to users.* The user is encouraged to pass `MPI_STATUS{ES}_IGNORE` as status  
object to all requests of nonblocking collective routines. (*End of advice to users.*)

## 5.12.1 Nonblocking Barrier Synchronization

```
MPI_IBARRIER( comm , request )
```

IN	comm	communicator (handle)
OUT	request	communication request (handle)

```
int MPI_Ibarrier(MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request )
```

```
MPI_IBARRIER(COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
    INTEGER COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
```

```
MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Ibarrier() const = 0
```

This operation starts a nonblocking barrier. The process synchronization after the operation completes is identical as after a call to the blocking `MPI_BARRIER`.

*Advice to users.* A nonblocking barrier can be used to hide latency. Moving independent computations between the `MPI_IBARRIER` and the subsequent completion call can overlap the barrier latency and therefore shorten possible waiting times. The semantic properties are also useful when mixing collectives and point-to-point messages. *(End of advice to users.)*

## 5.12.2 Nonblocking Broadcast

```
MPI_IBCAST( buffer, count, datatype, root, comm, request )
```

INOUT	buffer	starting address of buffer (choice)
IN	count	number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	datatype	data type of buffer (handle)
IN	root	rank of broadcast root (integer)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)
OUT	request	communication request (handle)

```
int MPI_Ibcast(void* buffer, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int root,
    MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request )
```

```
MPI_IBCAST(BUFFER, COUNT, DATATYPE, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
    <type> BUFFER(*)
    INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
```

```
MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Ibcast(void* buffer, int count,
    const MPI::Datatype& datatype, int root) const = 0
```

This operation starts a nonblocking broadcast. The data placements after the operation completes are identical as after a call to the blocking `MPI_BCAST`.

### 1 Example using MPI\_IBCAST

2 The example in this section uses intracommunicators.

3  
4 **Example 5.23** Start a broadcast of 100 ints from process 0 to every process in the group,  
5 perform some computation on independent data, and then complete the outstanding broad-  
6 cast operation.  
7

```
8     MPI_Comm comm;
9     int array1[100], array2[100];
10    int root=0;
11    MPI_Request req;
12    ...
13    MPI_Ibcast( array1, 100, MPI_INT, root, comm, &req );
14    compute(array2, 100);
15    MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
16
```

17 As in many of our example code fragments, we assume that some of the variables (such as  
18 `comm` in the above) have been assigned appropriate values.  
19  
20

### 21 5.12.3 Nonblocking Gather

22  
23  
24 `MPI_IGATHER( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, comm, re-`  
25 `quest)`

26	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
27	IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
28	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
29	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at root)
30	IN	recvcount	number of elements for any single receive (non-negative integer, significant only at root)
31	IN	recvtype	data type of recv buffer elements (significant only at root) (handle)
32	IN	root	rank of receiving process (integer)
33	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
34	OUT	request	communication request (handle)

```
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44 int MPI_Igather(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,  
45               void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root,  
46               MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
```

```
47 MPI_IGATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,  
48            ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
```



```

<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) 1
INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, 2
IERROR 3
4
MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Igather(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const 5
    MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount, 6
    const MPI::Datatype& recvtype, int root) const = 0 7
8
    This operation starts a nonblocking gather. The data placements after the operation 8
    completes are identical as after a call to the blocking MPI_GATHER. 9
10
11
MPI_IGATHERV( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs, recvtype, root, 12
comm, request) 13
14
    IN      sendbuf      starting address of send buffer (choice) 14
15
    IN      sendcount    number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte- 16
    ger) 17
18
    IN      sendtype     data type of send buffer elements (handle) 18
19
    OUT     recvbuf      address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at 19
    root) 20
21
    IN      recvcounts   non-negative integer array (of length group size) con- 22
    taining the number of elements that are received from 23
    each process (significant only at root) 24
25
    IN      displs       integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies 25
    the displacement relative to recvbuf at which to place 26
    the incoming data from process i (significant only at 27
    root) 28
29
    IN      recvtype     data type of recv buffer elements (significant only at 29
    root) (handle) 30
31
    IN      root         rank of receiving process (integer) 31
32
    IN      comm         communicator (handle) 32
33
    OUT     request      communication request (handle) 33
34
35
36
int MPI_Igatherv(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype, 36
    void* recvbuf, int *recvcounts, int *displs, 37
    MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm, 38
    MPI_Request *request) 39
40
MPI_IGATHERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DISPLS, 41
    RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR) 42
43
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) 43
44
INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), DISPLS(*), RECVTYPE, ROOT, 44
COMM, REQUEST, IERROR 45
46
MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Igatherv(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const 46
    MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, 47
48

```

```

1         const int recvcnts[], const int displs[],
2         const MPI::Datatype& recvtype, int root) const = 0
3

```

MPI\_IGATHERV extends the functionality of MPI\_IGATHER by allowing a varying count of data from each process. The memory movement after completion is identical as for MPI\_GATHERV.

#### 5.12.4 Nonblocking Scatter

```

9
10

```

```

11 MPI_ISCATTER( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcnt, recvtype, root, comm,
12 request)

```

```

13     IN         sendbuf           address of send buffer (choice, significant only at root)
14     IN         sendcount        number of elements sent to each process (non-negative
15                                     integer, significant only at root)
16
17     IN         sendtype         data type of send buffer elements (significant only at
18                                     root) (handle)
19
20     OUT        recvbuf          address of receive buffer (choice)
21     IN         recvcnt          number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in-
22                                     teger)
23     IN         recvtype         data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
24     IN         root             rank of sending process (integer)
25     IN         comm             communicator (handle)
26     IN         comm             communicator (handle)
27     OUT        request          communication request (handle)
28

```

```

29 int MPI_Iscatter(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
30                 void* recvbuf, int recvcnt, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root,
31                 MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
32

```

```

33 MPI_ISCATTER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
34             ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
35 <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
36 INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST,
37 IERROR

```

```

38 MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Iscatter(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const
39 MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcnt,
40 const MPI::Datatype& recvtype, int root) const = 0
41

```

MPI\_ISCATTER starts the reverse data movement as MPI\_IGATHER. The data movement performed is equivalent to MPI\_SCATTER.

```

44

```

```

45 MPI_ISCATTERV( sendbuf, sendcounts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcnt, recvtype, root,
46 comm, request)
47

```

```

48     IN         sendbuf           address of send buffer (choice, significant only at root)

```

IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) specifying the number of elements to send to each processor	1 2 3
IN	displs	integer array (of length group size). Entry <i>i</i> specifies the displacement (relative to <code>sendbuf</code> ) from which to take the outgoing data to process <i>i</i>	4 5 6 7
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	8
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)	9
IN	recvcount	number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative integer)	10 11 12
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	13
IN	root	rank of sending process (integer)	14
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	15 16
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	17 18
<code>int MPI_Iscatterv(void* sendbuf, int *sendcounts, int *displs,</code>			19
<code>MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount,</code>			20
<code>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm,</code>			21
<code>MPI_Request *request)</code>			22
<code>MPI_ISCATTERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNT,</code>			23
<code>RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)</code>			24
<code>&lt;type&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)</code>			25
<code>INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(*), DISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT,</code>			26
<code>COMM, REQUEST, IERROR</code>			27 28
<code>MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Iscatterv(const void* sendbuf,</code>			29
<code>const int sendcounts[], const int displs[],</code>			30
<code>const MPI::Datatype&amp; sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount,</code>			31
<code>const MPI::Datatype&amp; recvtype, int root) const = 0</code>			32 33
<p>MPI_ISCATTERV starts the reverse data movement as MPI_IGATHERV. The data movement performed is equivalent to MPI_SCATTERV.</p>			34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48

## 5.12.5 Nonblocking Gather-to-all

MPI\_IALLGATHER( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, comm, request)

IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	recvcount	number of elements received from any process (non-negative integer)
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)
OUT	request	communication request (handle)

```
int MPI_Iallgather(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
                  void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype,
                  MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
```

```
MPI_IALLGATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
               COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
```

```
MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Iallgather(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount,
                                   const MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount,
                                   const MPI::Datatype& recvtype) const = 0
```

The data movement after an MPI\_IALLGATHER operation completes is identical to MPI\_ALLGATHER.

MPI\_IALLGATHERV( sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcnts, displs, recvtype, comm, request)

IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)

IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) containing the number of elements that are received from each process	1 2 3
IN	displs	integer array (of length group size). Entry <i>i</i> specifies the displacement (relative to <i>recvbuf</i> ) at which to place the incoming data from process <i>i</i>	4 5 6 7
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	8
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	9
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	10 11
<pre>int MPI_Iallgatherv(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,                   void* recvbuf, int *recvcounts, int *displs,                   MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request)</pre>			12 13 14 15
<pre>MPI_IALLGATHERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DISPLS,                 RECVTYPE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)</pre>			16 17
<pre>&lt;type&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), DISPLS(*), RECVTYPE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR</pre>			18 19 20 21
<pre>MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Iallgatherv(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount,                                      const MPI::Datatype&amp; sendtype, void* recvbuf,                                      const int recvcounts[], const int displs[],                                      const MPI::Datatype&amp; recvtype) const = 0</pre>			22 23 24 25

The data movement after completion of `MPI_IALLGATHERV` is identical as if `MPI_ALLGATHERV` returned.

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

## 5.12.6 Nonblocking All-to-All Scatter/Gather

```

1 MPI_IALLTOALL(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, comm, request)
2
3
4
5

```

6	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
7			
8	IN	sendcount	number of elements sent to each process (non-negative integer)
9			
10	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
11			
12	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
13	IN	recvcount	number of elements received from any process (non-negative integer)
14			
15	IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
16			
17	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
18	OUT	request	communication request (handle)
19			

```

20 int MPI_Ialltoall(void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
21                 void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype,
22                 MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
23

```

```

24 MPI_IALLTOALL(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
25              COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)

```

```

26 <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)

```

```

27 INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, REVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

```

```

28 MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Ialltoall(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, const
29 MPI::Datatype& sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount,
30 const MPI::Datatype& recvtype) const = 0
31

```

32 The data movement after an MPI\_IALLTOALL operation completes is identical to  
33 MPI\_ALLTOALL.

```

34
35 MPI_IALLTOALLV(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recv-
36 type, comm, request)
37

```

38	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
39	IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array equal to the group size specifying the number of elements to send to each processor
40			
41			
42	IN	sdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry j specifies the displacement (relative to sendbuf) from which to take the outgoing data destined for process j
43			
44			
45			
46	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
47	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
48			

IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array equal to the group size specifying the number of elements that can be received from each processor	1 2 3
IN	rdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry <i>i</i> specifies the displacement (relative to <i>recvbuf</i> ) at which to place the incoming data from process <i>i</i>	4 5 6 7
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	8
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	9
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	10 11
<pre>int MPI_Ialltoallv(void* sendbuf, int *sendcounts, int *sdispls,                   MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, int *recvcounts,                   int *rdispls, MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm,                   MPI_Request *request)</pre>			12 13 14 15 16
<pre>MPI_IALLTOALLV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS,                RDISPLS, RECVTYPE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR) &lt;type&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(*), SDISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), RDISPLS(*), RECVTYPE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR</pre>			17 18 19 20 21 22
<pre>MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf,                                    const int sendcounts[], const int sdispls[],                                    const MPI::Datatype&amp; sendtype, void* recvbuf,                                    const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[],                                    const MPI::Datatype&amp; recvtype) const = 0</pre>			23 24 25 26 27
<p>MPI_IALLTOALLV adds flexibility to MPI_IALLTOALL in that the location of data for the send is specified by <i>sdispls</i> and the location of the placement of the data on the receive side is specified by <i>rdispls</i>.</p>			28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48

1	MPI_IALLTOALLW(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recv-		
2	types, comm, request)		
3	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
4	IN	sendcounts	integer array equal to the group size specifying the
5			number of elements to send to each processor (array
6			of non-negative integers)
7			
8	IN	sdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry j specifies
9			the displacement in bytes (relative to sendbuf) from
10			which to take the outgoing data destined for process
11			j (array of integers)
12	IN	sendtypes	array of datatypes (of length group size). Entry j
13			specifies the type of data to send to process j (array
14			of handles)
15	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
16	IN	recvcounts	integer array equal to the group size specifying the
17			number of elements that can be received from each
18			processor (array of non-negative integers)
19			
20	IN	rdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies
21			the displacement in bytes (relative to recvbuf) at which
22			to place the incoming data from process i (array of
23			integers)
24			
25	IN	recvtypes	array of datatypes (of length group size). Entry i
26			specifies the type of data received from process i (ar-
27			ray of handles)
28	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
29	OUT	request	communication request (handle)
30			

```

31 int MPI_Ialltoallw(void *sendbuf, int sendcounts[], int sdispls[],
32                   MPI_Datatype sendtypes[], void *recvbuf, int recvcounts[],
33                   int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtypes[], MPI_Comm comm,
34                   MPI_Request *request )
35 
```

```

36 MPI_IALLTOALLW(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPES, RECVBUF,
37                RECVCOUNTS, RDISPLS, RECVTYPES, REQUEST, COMM, IERROR)
38 <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
39 INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(*), SDISPLS(*), SENDTYPES(*), RECVCOUNTS(*),
40 RDISPLS(*), RECVTYPES(*), COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

```

```

41 MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Ialltoallw(const void* sendbuf, const int
42   sendcounts[], const int sdispls[], const MPI::Datatype
43   sendtypes[], void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int
44   rdispls[], const MPI::Datatype recvtypes[]) const = 0
45 
```

46 MPI\_IALLTOALLW is the nonblocking variant of MPI\_ALLTOALLW. It starts a non-  
47 blocking all-to-all operation which delivers the same results as MPI\_ALLTOALLW after  
48 completion.



## 5.12.7 Nonblocking Reduce

MPI\_IREDUCE( sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm, request)

IN	sendbuf	address of send buffer (choice)
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at root)
IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	datatype	data type of elements of send buffer (handle)
IN	op	reduce operation (handle)
IN	root	rank of root process (integer)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)
OUT	request	communication request (handle)

```
int MPI_Ireduce(void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
               MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, int root, MPI_Comm comm,
               MPI_Request *request)
```

```
MPI_IREDUCE(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST,
            IERROR)
```

```
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
```

```
INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
```

```
MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Ireduce(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf,
                                int count, const MPI::Datatype& datatype, const MPI::Op& op,
                                int root) const = 0
```

MPI\_IREDUCE is the nonblocking variant of MPI\_REDUCE. It starts a nonblocking reduction operation which delivers the same results as MPI\_REDUCE after completion.

*Advice to implementors.* It is strongly recommended that MPI\_IREDUCE is implemented so that the same result is obtained whenever the function is applied on the same arguments, appearing in the same order. Note that this may prevent optimizations that take advantage of the physical location of processors. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 5.12.8 Nonblocking All-Reduce

MPI includes a variant of the reduce operations where the result is returned to all processes in a group. MPI requires that all processes from the same group participating in these operations receive identical results.

```

1 MPI_IALLREDUCE( sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm, request)
2     IN      sendbuf      starting address of send buffer (choice)
3
4     OUT     recvbuf      starting address of receive buffer (choice)
5
6     IN      count        number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
7
8     IN      datatype     data type of elements of send buffer (handle)
9
10    IN      op           operation (handle)
11
12    OUT     request      communication request (handle)
13
14 int MPI_Iallreduce(void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
15                  MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm,
16                  MPI_Request *request)
17 MPI_IALLREDUCE(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST,
18               IERROR)
19     <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
20     INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
21
22 MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Iallreduce(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf,
23                                   int count, const MPI::Datatype& datatype, const MPI::Op& op)
24                                   const = 0

```

25 MPI\_IALLREDUCE is the nonblocking variant of MPI\_ALLREDUCE. It starts a non-  
26 blocking reduction-to-all operation which delivers the same results as MPI\_ALLREDUCE  
27 after completion.

### 29 5.12.9 Nonblocking Reduce-Scatter

```

32 MPI_IREDUCE_SCATTER( sendbuf, recvbuf, recvcounts, datatype, op, comm, request)
33
34     IN      sendbuf      starting address of send buffer (choice)
35
36     OUT     recvbuf      starting address of receive buffer (choice)
37
38     IN      recvcounts   non-negative integer array specifying the number of
39                        elements in result distributed to each process. Array
40                        must be identical on all calling processes.
41
42     IN      datatype     data type of elements of input buffer (handle)
43
44     IN      op           operation (handle)
45
46     IN      comm         communicator (handle)
47
48     OUT     request      communication request (handle)

```

```

46 int MPI_Ireduce_scatter(void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int *recvcounts,
47                        MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm,
48                        MPI_Request *request)

```

```

MPI_IREDUCE_SCATTER(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DATATYPE, OP, COMM,
                    REQUEST, IERROR)
    <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
    INTEGER RECVCOUNTS(*), DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

```

```

MPI::Request MPI::Comm::Ireduce_scatter(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf,
    int recvcounts[], const MPI::Datatype& datatype,
    const MPI::Op& op) const = 0

```

MPI\_IREDUCE\_SCATTER is the nonblocking variant of MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER. It starts a nonblocking reduce-scatter operation which delivers the same results as MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER after completion.

#### 5.12.10 Nonblocking Inclusive Scan

```

MPI_ISCAN( sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm, request )

```

IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	count	number of elements in input buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	datatype	data type of elements of input buffer (handle)
IN	op	operation (handle)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)
OUT	request	communication request (handle)

```

int MPI_Iscan(void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
    MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm,
    MPI_Request *request )

```

```

MPI_ISCAN(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
    <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
    INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

```

```

MPI::Request MPI::Intracomm::Iscale(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf,
    int count, const MPI::Datatype& datatype, const MPI::Op& op)
    const

```

MPI\_ISCAN is the nonblocking variant of MPI\_SCAN. It starts a nonblocking scan operation which delivers the same results as MPI\_SCAN after completion.

#### 5.12.11 Nonblocking Exclusive Scan

```

1 MPI_IEXSCAN(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm, request)
2     IN      sendbuf      starting address of send buffer (choice)
3     OUT     recvbuf      starting address of receive buffer (choice)
4     IN      count        number of elements in input buffer (non-negative in-
5                          teger)
6     IN      datatype     data type of elements of input buffer (handle)
7     IN      op            operation (handle)
8     IN      comm         intracommunicator (handle)
9     OUT     request      communication request (handle)
10
11
12
13 int MPI_Iexscan(void *sendbuf, void *recvbuf, int count,
14                MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm,
15                MPI_Request *request)
16
17 MPI_IEXSCAN(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
18     <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
19     INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
20
21 MPI::Request MPI::Intracomm::Iexscan(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf,
22                                     int count, const MPI::Datatype& datatype, const MPI::Op& op)
23                                     const

```

MPI\_IEXSCAN is the nonblocking variant of MPI\_EXSCAN. It starts a nonblocking exclusive scan operation which delivers the same results as MPI\_EXSCAN after completion.

### 5.13 Correctness

A correct, portable program must invoke collective communications so that deadlock will not occur, whether collective communications are synchronizing or not. The following examples illustrate dangerous use of collective routines on intracommunicators.

**Example 5.24** The following is erroneous.

```

34 switch(rank) {
35     case 0:
36         MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
37         MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 1, comm);
38         break;
39     case 1:
40         MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 1, comm);
41         MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
42         break;
43 }
44

```

We assume that the group of `comm` is  $\{0,1\}$ . Two processes execute two broadcast operations in reverse order. If the operation is synchronizing then a deadlock will occur.

Collective operations must be executed in the same order at all members of the communication group.

**Example 5.25** The following is erroneous.

```

switch(rank) {
  case 0:
    MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm0);
    MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 2, comm2);
    break;
  case 1:
    MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 1, comm1);
    MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 0, comm0);
    break;
  case 2:
    MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 2, comm2);
    MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 1, comm1);
    break;
}

```

Assume that the group of `comm0` is  $\{0,1\}$ , of `comm1` is  $\{1, 2\}$  and of `comm2` is  $\{2,0\}$ . If the broadcast is a synchronizing operation, then there is a cyclic dependency: the broadcast in `comm2` completes only after the broadcast in `comm0`; the broadcast in `comm0` completes only after the broadcast in `comm1`; and the broadcast in `comm1` completes only after the broadcast in `comm2`. Thus, the code will deadlock.

Collective operations must be executed in an order so that no cyclic dependences occur.

**Example 5.26** The following is erroneous.

```

switch(rank) {
  case 0:
    MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
    MPI_Send(buf2, count, type, 1, tag, comm);
    break;
  case 1:
    MPI_Recv(buf2, count, type, 0, tag, comm, status);
    MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
    break;
}

```

Process zero executes a broadcast, followed by a blocking send operation. Process one first executes a blocking receive that matches the send, followed by broadcast call that matches the broadcast of process zero. This program may deadlock. The broadcast call on process zero *may* block until process one executes the matching broadcast call, so that the send is not executed. Process one will definitely block on the receive and so, in this case, never executes the broadcast.

The relative order of execution of collective operations and point-to-point operations should be such, so that even if the collective operations and the point-to-point operations are synchronizing, no deadlock will occur.

**Example 5.27** An unsafe, non-deterministic program.

```

1  switch(rank) {
2      case 0:
3          MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
4          MPI_Send(buf2, count, type, 1, tag, comm);
5          break;
6      case 1:
7          MPI_Recv(buf2, count, type, MPI_ANY_SOURCE, tag, comm, status);
8          MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
9          MPI_Recv(buf2, count, type, MPI_ANY_SOURCE, tag, comm, status);
10         break;
11     case 2:
12         MPI_Send(buf2, count, type, 1, tag, comm);
13         MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
14         break;
15 }

```

16  
17 All three processes participate in a broadcast. Process 0 sends a message to process  
18 1 after the broadcast, and process 2 sends a message to process 1 before the broadcast.  
19 Process 1 receives before and after the broadcast, with a wildcard source argument.

20 Two possible executions of this program, with different matchings of sends and receives,  
21 are illustrated in Figure 5.12. Note that the second execution has the peculiar effect that  
22 a send executed after the broadcast is received at another node before the broadcast. This  
23 example illustrates the fact that one should not rely on collective communication functions  
24 to have particular synchronization effects. A program that works correctly only when the  
25 first execution occurs (only when broadcast is synchronizing) is erroneous.

26  
27 Finally, in multithreaded implementations, one can have more than one, concurrently  
28 executing, collective communication call at a process. In these situations, it is the user's re-  
29 sponsibility to ensure that the same communicator is not used concurrently by two different  
30 collective communication calls at the same process.

31  
32 *Advice to implementors.* Assume that broadcast is implemented using point-to-point  
33 MPI communication. Suppose the following two rules are followed.

- 34  
35 1. All receives specify their source explicitly (no wildcards).  
36 2. Each process sends all messages that pertain to one collective call before sending  
37 any message that pertain to a subsequent collective call.

38 Then, messages belonging to successive broadcasts cannot be confused, as the order  
39 of point-to-point messages is preserved.

40 It is the implementor's responsibility to ensure that point-to-point messages are not  
41 confused with collective messages. One way to accomplish this is, whenever a commu-  
42 nicator is created, to also create a "hidden communicator" for collective communica-  
43 tion. One could achieve a similar effect more cheaply, for example, by using a hidden  
44 tag or context bit to indicate whether the communicator is used for point-to-point or  
45 collective communication. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

46  
47  
48




Figure 5.12: A race condition causes non-deterministic matching of sends and receives. One cannot rely on synchronization from a broadcast to make the program deterministic.

**Example 5.28** Blocking and nonblocking collective operations can be mixed, i.e., a blocking collective operation can be posted even if there is a nonblocking collective operation outstanding.

```
MPI_Request req;
```

```
MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
```

Each process starts a nonblocking barrier operation, participates in a blocking broadcast and then waits after every other process started the barrier operation. This effectively turns the broadcast into a synchronizing broadcast with possible communication/communication overlap (MPI\_Bcast is allowed, but not required to synchronize).

**Example 5.29** The starting order of collective operations on a particular communicator defines their matching. The following example shows an erroneous matching of different collective operations on the same communicator.

```
MPI_Request req;
switch(rank) {
  case 0:
    /* erroneous matching */
    MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
    MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
    MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
```

```

1         break;
2     case 1:
3         /* erroneous matching */
4         MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
5         MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
6         MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
7         break;
8     }
9

```

This ordering would match MPI\_Ibarrier on rank 0 with MPI\_Bcast on rank 1 which is erroneous and the program behavior is undefined. However, if such an order is required, the user must create different duplicate communicators and perform the operations on them. The following program would be legal:

```

14 MPI_Request req;
15 MPI_Comm dupcomm;
16 MPI_Comm_dup(comm, &dupcomm);
17 switch(rank) {
18     case 0:
19         MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
20         MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, dupcomm);
21         MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
22         break;
23     case 1:
24         MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, dupcomm);
25         MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
26         MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
27         break;
28 }
29

```

*Advice to users.* The use of different communicators offers some flexibility regarding the matching of nonblocking collective operations. In this sense, communicators could be used as an equivalent to tags. However, communicator construction might induce huge overheads so that this should only be done if absolutely necessary. (*End of advice to users.*)

**Example 5.30** Nonblocking collective operations can rely on the same progression rules as nonblocking point-to-point messages. Thus, the following program is a valid MPI program and is guaranteed to terminate:

```

40 MPI_Request req;
41
42 switch(rank) {
43     case 0:
44         MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
45         MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
46         MPI_Send(buf, count, dtype, 1, tag, comm);
47         break;
48     case 1:

```



```

    MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
    MPI_Recv(buf, count, dtype, 0, tag, comm, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
    MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
    break;
}

```

The MPI library must progress and finish the barrier in the MPI\_Recv call which eventually completes the barrier operation on both processes and enables the matching MPI\_Send.

**Example 5.31** Collective and point-to-point requests can be mixed in functions that enable multiple completions. The following program is valid.

```

MPI_Request reqs[2];

switch(rank) {
    case 0:
        MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &reqs[0]);
        MPI_Send(buf, count, dtype, 1, tag, comm);
        MPI_Wait(&reqs[0], MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
        break;
    case 1:
        MPI_Irecv(buf, count, dtype, 0, tag, comm, &reqs[0]);
        MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &reqs[1]);
        MPI_Waitall(2, reqs, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);
        break;
}

```

The Waitall call returns only after the barrier and the receive completed.

**Example 5.32** Multiple nonblocking collective operations can be outstanding on a single communicator and match in order.

```

MPI_Request reqs[3];

compute(buf1);
MPI_Ibcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm, &reqs[0]);
compute(buf2);
MPI_Ibcast(buf2, count, type, 0, comm, &reqs[1]);
compute(buf3);
MPI_Ibcast(buf3, count, type, 0, comm, &reqs[2]);
MPI_Waitall(3, reqs, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);

```

*Advice to users.* Pipelining and double-buffering techniques can efficiently be used to overlap computation and communication. (*End of advice to users.*)

*Advice to implementors.* The use of pipelining can potentially generate a huge number of outstanding requests. Thus, the number of outstanding requests should only be limited by physical memory. A hardware-supported implementation with limited resources should be able to fall back to a software implementation if its resources are exhausted. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

**Example 5.33** Nonblocking collective operations can also be used to enable simultaneous collective operations on multiple overlapping communicators. The following example is started with three processes and three communicators. The first communicator `comm1` includes ranks 0 and 1, `comm2` includes ranks 1 and 2 and `comm3` spans ranks 0 and 2. It is not possible to perform a blocking collective operation on all communicators because there exists no deadlock-free order to invoke them. However, nonblocking collective operations can easily be used to achieve this task.

```
9  MPI_Request reqs[2];
10
11 switch(rank) {
12     case 0:
13         MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf1, rbuf1, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm1, &reqs[0]);
14         MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf3, rbuf3, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm3, &reqs[1]);
15         break;
16     case 1:
17         MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf1, rbuf1, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm1, &reqs[0]);
18         MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf2, rbuf2, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm2, &reqs[1]);
19         break;
20     case 2:
21         MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf2, rbuf2, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm2, &reqs[0]);
22         MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf3, rbuf3, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm3, &reqs[1]);
23         break;
24 }
25 MPI_Waitall(2, reqs, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);
```

*Advice to users.* This method can be very useful if overlapping neighboring regions (halo or ghost zones) are used in collective operations. (*End of advice to users.*)

# Bibliography

- [1] T. Hoefer, P. Gottschling, A. Lumsdaine, and W. Rehm. Optimizing a Conjugate Gradient Solver with Non-Blocking Collective Operations. *Elsevier Journal of Parallel Computing (PARCO)*, 33(9):624–633, Sep. 2007. [5.12](#)
- [2] T. Hoefer and A. Lumsdaine. Message Progression in Parallel Computing - To Thread or not to Thread? In *Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing*. IEEE Computer Society, Oct. 2008. [5.12](#)
- [3] T. Hoefer, A. Lumsdaine, and W. Rehm. Implementation and Performance Analysis of Non-Blocking Collective Operations for MPI. In *In proceedings of the 2007 International Conference on High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis, SC07*. IEEE Computer Society/ACM, Nov. 2007. [5.12](#)
- [4] T. Hoefer, M. Schellmann, S. Gorlatch, and A. Lumsdaine. Communication Optimization for Medical Image Reconstruction Algorithms. In *Recent Advances in Parallel Virtual Machine and Message Passing Interface, 15th European PVM/MPI Users' Group Meeting*, volume LNCS 5205, pages 75–83. Springer, Sep. 2008. [5.12](#)
- [5] Anthony Skjellum, Nathan E. Doss, and Kishore Viswanathan. Inter-communicator extensions to MPI in the MPIX (MPI eXtension) Library. Technical Report MSU-940722, Mississippi State University — Dept. of Computer Science, April 1994. <http://www.erc.msstate.edu/mpi/mpix.html>. [5.2.2](#)